

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA**

APPEAL SUIT NO: 2324 OF 2002

Appeal under Section 96 of CPC R/w. Rule 47(1) of A.P. Agency Rules 1924 against the Judgment and decree dated 23/11/2001 made in OS No.101 of 1998 on the file of the Court of the Special Assistant Agent (Sub-Collector), Mobile Court, Bhadrachalam.

Between:

Sri Seetharamachandra Swamy Vari Devasthanam, Bhadrachalm, rep. by Executive Officer

...APPELLANT

AND

1. Bavisetty Purushothama Naidu, C/o Velaga Venkata Rao
2. Akula Yugandhar, S/o Kanthaiah

...RESPONDENTS

For the Appellant : SRI K.RAVI, Advocate (not present)

For the Respondent No.2 : SRI K.MANIKYALA RAO, Advocate

The Court delivered the following : JUDGMENT

HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA

APPEAL SUIT NO.2324 OF 2002

ORDER:

Appellant Counsel absent. He was absent on 26.12.2002, 11.04.2022, 27.06.2022, 30.06.2022, and hence the matter was listed under the caption "for dismissal" to 05.07.2022. Even today, he could not appear, hence, as he failed to turn up, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the same, the appeal suit is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

As a sequel, all the pending miscellaneous applications shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-K.SAILESHI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Special Assistant Agent (Sub-Collector), Mobile Court, Bhadrachalam.
2. One CC to Sri K.Manikyala Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri K.Ravi, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

Kj.



HIGH COURT

DATED:14/10/2022

ORDER

AS.No.2324 of 2002



DISMISSED FOR NON-PROSECUTION.

⑥ $\frac{VW}{24/2/23}$