

**THE HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE SHAMEEM AKTHER
AND
THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI**

FAMILY COURT APPEAL No.146 OF 2019

JUDGMENT: (Per Hon'ble Dr.SA,J)

This appeal, under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984, is filed by the appellant/father aggrieved by the order and decree, dated 12.02.2019, passed in F.C.O.P.No.1874 of 2016 by the Judge, Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar, whereby the subject F.C.O.P. filed by the respondent/son, under Section 7(1)(f) of the Family Courts Act, was allowed in part granting maintenance to the respondent/son at Rs.10,000/- per month from the month of filing the subject F.C.O.P. i.e., September, 2016 till the month of his attaining majority i.e., September, 2017 (13 months), while rejecting his claim for past maintenance for the period from October, 2013 to August, 2016.

2. Heard the learned counsel for both sides and perused the record.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant/father would submit that the respondent/son attained majority before filing the subject F.C.O.P. There are documents to substantiate the same. But the

Court below did not consider the same and granted maintenance, as indicated above, which is erroneous and ultimately, prayed to set aside the impugned order and decree.

4. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the respondent/son supported the impugned order and decree.

5. To substantiate that the respondent/son is minor as on the date of filing of the subject F.C.O.P., the respondent/son filed, Ex.P2-copy of his Aadhaar card and Ex.P6-copy of his SSC Certificate. The Court below has gone into those documents and the other evidence on record and held that the respondent/son is minor as on the date of filing of the subject F.C.O.P. and granted maintenance from the date of filing the subject F.C.O.P. till he attained majority. The findings recorded by the Court below are based on the evidence on record. The Court below is justified in granting maintenance at Rs.10,000/- per month to the respondent/son, as indicated above. There is no infirmity or illegality in the impugned order, so as to interfere with the same. The appeal is devoid of merit and is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed confirming the order and decree, dated 12.02.2019, passed in F.C.O.P.No.1874 of 2016 by the Judge, Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar.

Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this appeal, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dr. SHAMEEM AKTHER, J

JUVVADI SRIDEVI, J

Date: 23.06.2022
MD