

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF APRIL,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SURENDER**

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 512 OF 2022

Between:

Veldi Vasantha Rao, S/o Ananthaiah

...Petitioner/Accused No.6

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep by The Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad
2. Sri.Gattu Kishan Rao, S/o.Gattu Rajanna, Occ: Retd. Employee, R/o.Ganjapadugu village, Mathani Mandal, Peddapalli District.
(R-2 Impleaded as per court order dated 26.10.2022 in IA.No.4 of 2022 in CrLRC.No.512 of 2022)

...Respondents

Revision petition filed under section 397 and 401 of CrPC, praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition, the High court may be pleased to set aside the order dated 16/05/2022 in CrL.M.P. No. 29/2022 in S.C. No. 291/2021 on the file of the court of Principal Sessions Judge, at Karimnagar and discharge the petitioner / Accused No. 6 for the offences under sections 120-B, 341, 302, 201 R/W 34, 109 of IPC in S.C No. 291/2021 of the file the Court of Principal Sessions Judge, at Karimnagar,

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 397 (1) of CR.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct stay of all further proceedings in S.C.No.291/2021 on the file of the Court of Principal Sessions Judge, at Karimnagar including appearance of the Petitioner/Accused No.6, pending disposal of CRLRC No.512 of 2022, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 08-08-2022 and 06.09.2022, 27.09.2022, 26.10.2022, 03.11.2022 , 11.11.2022 & 10.02.2023 upon hearing the arguments of Sri. T. Niranjan Reddy Senior Counsel representing Sri V Venkata Mayur, Advocate for the Petitioner, The Additional Public Prosecutor for the Respondent No.1 and Sri. G.L. NARASIMHA RAO Advocate for the Respondent No.2,

the Court made the following.

ORDER

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the matter was earlier heard, however, the earlier Judge released the case.

The de facto complainant has approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court by filing SLP (Crl) No 9145/2021 stating that he has no confidence in the said police machinery and sought investigation by the Agency such as Central Bureau of investigation (CBI). The said SLP is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the de facto complainant would submit that though SLP is pending in the Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is for adding some other accused and not questioning the charge sheet which is filed. He is also ready to argue on facts regarding the involvement of this petitioner.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that case is already stayed and seeks early hearing. However, post the case on 10.04.2023 at 4'0 Clock.

Needless to say the proceedings against this petitioner shall not be undertaken by the concerned Court.

Interim order granted earlier is extended till 10.04.2023.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- C. PRAVEEN KUMAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Sessions Judge, at Karimnagar
2. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court, Hyderabad (OUT)
3. One CC to Sri V VENKATA MAYUR, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri G.L. NARASIMHA RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

KS, J

DATED; 03.04.2023

POST THE CASE ON 10.04.2023 AT 4'0 CLOCK.

ORDER

CRL.RC.NO.512 OF 2022

EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER

