

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 467 OF 2021

Contempt Case under Section 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act to punish the Respondent herein for, willfully violating, the Order of the High Court dated 05.03.2020 in IA. No. 1 of 2017 in WP. No.4538 of 2017.

Between:

P. Rakesh, S/o. Late P J Batison aged about 58 years, Occ. Advocate R/o Flat No 509 Vaishnavi Apartments Manasa Block Saroornagar Road L B Nagar Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Dr. Christina Z Chongthu, Managing Director, Telangana Foods IDA, Nacharam, Hyderabad.
2. Mr Srinivas Naik, Manager, (A and M), Telangana Foods IDA, Nacharam, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI D. NARSIMULU, ADVOCATE

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI MURALIDHAR MUTHYALA, ADVOCATE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR.

Contempt Case No.467 of 2021

ORDER:

The present Contempt Case is filed alleging disobedience to the order passed by this Court in I.A.No.1 of 2017 in W.P.No.4538 of 2017, dt.05.03.2020.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Services I and perused the record.

3. A counter-affidavit, along with proceedings in File No.TF/HRD/SC-HC/121/2010-18-20, dt.26.04.2021, is filed, before this Court, on behalf of the respondents herein/respondent Nos.3 and 4 in the writ petition.

4. By the said proceedings filed along with the counter-affidavit, the respondents claim to have disposed of the claim of the petitioner, pursuant to the order of this Court in I.A.No.1 of 2017 in W.P.No.4538 of 2017, dt.05.03.2020. By the said proceeding issued, the respondents have determined that an amount of Rs.2,10,000/- only is payable to the petitioner towards the payment for availing the services of the petitioner as Standing Counsel of the respondents.

5. Since the respondents have Issued the proceedings dt.26.04.2021, in compliance with the order of this Court dt.05.03.2020 and whereby part of the claim of the petitioner has been rejected by assigning reasons therefor, this Court is of the view that the respondents have complied with the order of this Court I.A.No.1 of 2017 in W.P.No.4538 of 2017, dt.05.03.2020.

6. So far as the rejection of the claim made by the petitioner is concerned, the petitioner would have to avail the remedy by questioning the said proceedings, If he is aggrieved by the same.

7. In view of the above, the Contempt Case is closed. No costs.

7. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

SD/- CH. VENKATESWARULU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI D. NARSIMULU, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI MURALIDHAR MUTHYALA, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

BN

8

HIGH COURT

DATED:07/09/2022

ORDER

CC.No.467 of 2021



CLOSING THE CONTEMPT CASE

⑤4pi8
Sm
9/01/2023