

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 6600 OF 2019

Between:

Polamoni Jangaiah Golla @ Jangaiah, s/o Rajaiah, aged 50 yrs,
Occ- Agriculture, r/o Satamrai Village, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy
District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad, rep. by its Principal Secretary
2. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Revenue Division, Ranga Reddy District.
4. The Tahsildar,, Shamshabad Mandal, Shamshabad, Ranga Reddy District.
5. Vinod Purushotham Bai Patel, s/o Late Purushotham Bai Patel, aged about 45 yrs, r/o Satham Rai village, Shamshabad Mandal, R.R.District.
(also the r/o 108, Beaver Road, Ashford, Kent, United Kingdom)

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order, or Direction, more particularly one in nature of Writ of MANDAMUS to set aside the orders dt.11-06-2015 passed in Case no.D1/377/2011 after declaring the said orders passed by the 2nd respondent dismissing the no.D1/377/2011 as withdrawn allegedly on the basis of a memo which was not filed by the petitioner, is the result of fraud played on the petitioner by the respondent No.5 and consequently to direct the 2nd respondent to restore the appeal on to the file of the 2nd respondent to facilitate disposal of the same on merits, after affording opportunity to the parties to the appeal, in the Interest of Justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased To direct the respondent No.2 to produce the memo on the basis of which the orders were passed dismissing the no.D1/377/2011 as withdrawn, in the interest of Justice, Pending Disposal of the Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI B. SUDHAKAR REDDY AND NEERAJA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.5:-----

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

W.P.No.6600 OF 2019

ORDER:

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner had contended that the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication and has become infructuous.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/-T.JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri B. Sudhakar Reddy and Neeraja Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies
4. One Spare Copy

Sm
BS

HIGH COURT

DATED:03/03/2022

ORDER

WP.No.6600 of 2019



DISMISSING THE WP
AS INFRACTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS

ATM 46
6/4/2022