



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 27.04.2021

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.D. AUDIKESAVALU

W.P. (MD) No. 16051 of 2017

and

W.M.P (MD) Nos.12716 and 12717 of 2017

WEB COPY

1.The Superintending Engineer,
Ramanathapuram Electricity Distribution Circle,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Now TANGEDCO,
Ramanathapuram.

2.The Assistant Engineer,
Rameswaram Electricity Division,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Now TANGEDCO,
Ramanathapuram.

... Petitioners

-vs-

1.Inspector of Labour,
Ramanathapuram.

2.S.Thulasinathan

... Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records of the 1st respondent, in relation to his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.1764/2011 dated 31.08.2015 directing the petitioner to confer permanent status to the 2nd respondent and others herein as workers in TANGEDCO / Ramanathapuram Electricity Distribution Circle and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners : Mr. Anand Gopalan
for M/s. T.S. Gopalan & Co.,
For Respondent R1: Mr. M.Jeyakumar
Additional Government Pleader
For Respondent R2: Mr.R.Thangasamy

O R D E R

(through video conference)

Heard Mr. Anand Gopalan, Learned Counsel for the Petitioners, Mr. M.Jeyakumar, learned Additional Government Pleader for the First Respondent and Mr.R.Thangasamy, Learned Counsel appearing for the Second Respondent and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.

2. The Writ Petition challenges the Order in Na.Ka.No.1764/2011 dated 31.08.2015 passed by the First Respondent granting the benefit of permanent status to the Second Respondent in Ramanathapuram



Electricity Distribution Circle of Tamil Nadu Electricity Board (hereinafter referred to as 'TNEB' for short and now renamed as 'TANGEDCO') under the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Industrial Establishments (Conferment of Permanent Status to Workmen) Act, 1981 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act' for short).

3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners assertively contends that the Act would not be applicable to the claim made by the Second Respondent for the following reasons:-

- (i) the conferment of permanent status on the Second Respondent would not be in accordance with the constitutional mandate requiring equality of opportunity in public employment under Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India as held by the Constitution Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Secretary, State of Karnataka -vs- Umadevi** [(2006) 4 SCC 1];
- (ii) the Second Respondent had not been initially appointed in sanctioned posts following statutory rules governing the employment in TANGEDCO, which would be in contravention of the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **A. Umarani -vs- Registrar, Cooperative Societies** [(2004) 7 SCC 112]; and
- (iii) the Ramanathapuram Electricity Distribution Circle of TNEB, where the Second Respondent claim to have been worked is not an 'industrial establishment' within the meaning of Section 2(3) of the Act.

These contentions of the Petitioners, which are vehemently opposed by the Second Respondent, who is armed with the impugned order passed under the Act, requires further examination after hearing the Learned Counsel for the parties.

4. Be that as it may, the Division Bench of this Court in **Superintending Engineer, Nagapattinam -vs- Inspector of Labour** [(2009) 4 MLJ 472] has earlier considered the claims made by similarly placed persons for absorption in TNEB and has ruled that the same would have to be determined only on the basis of the Settlement dated 10.08.2007 entered by TNEB with the Labour Unions under Section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, which has been given effect in the Board Proceedings in (Per) B.P. (FB) No. 44 dated 06.09.2007 and Per.B.P. (CHAIRMAN) No. 9 dated 09.01.2008 issued by the Administrative Branch of Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited (hereinafter referred to as 'TANGEDCO' for short). In a number of subsequent cases that have followed, this Court had reiterated that legal position. It is borne out from the materials placed on record that there had not been any earlier occasion for the concerned authority of TANGEDCO to consider the claim of the Second Respondent for absorption on that basis inasmuch as no such application had been made by them so far in that regard.



5. In that view of the matter, this Court without expressing any view on the merits of controversies involved and without prejudice to the rival contentions of the respective parties in this Writ Petition, requires the concerned authority of TNEB to examine the claim of the Second Respondent for absorption in service in terms of the Settlement dated 10.08.2007 entered by TNEB with the Labour Unions under Section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, which has been given effect in the Board Proceedings in (Per) B.P. (FB) No. 44 dated 06.09.2007 and Per.B.P. (CHAIRMAN) No. 9 dated 09.01.2008 issued by the Administrative Branch of TANGEDCO, in the following manner:-

- (i) The Second Respondent shall submit his application to the Superintending Engineer, Ramanathapuram Electricity Distribution Circle, TANGEDCO, Ramanathapuram along with a copy of the same to the Chief Engineer (Personnel), Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited, Eighth Floor, Eastern Wing, NPKRR Maligai, 144, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002 supported by relevant documents to substantiate his claim for absorption in service, on or before 31.05.2021;
- (ii) If the concerned authority after the scrutiny of the application is not satisfied with the prescribed requirements or eligibility criteria for extending that benefit to the applicant, the deficiencies in that regard shall be informed in writing to him requiring the same to be furnished within a time frame of not less than 10 working days that may be granted for that purpose.
- (iii) In the event of the concerned authority not being satisfied with the compliance of the requirements even thereafter, an enquiry shall be conducted affording opportunity of personal hearing to the applicant to explain his position in that regard;
- (iv) Thereafter, the application of the Second Respondent shall be considered by the concerned authority on merits and in accordance with law dealing with each of the contentions and a reasoned order shall be passed, which shall be communicated to the applicant under written acknowledgment by 30.06.2021.
- (v) The report of action taken shall be filed in the Registry of this Court by 05.07.2021.

Post the matter for further hearing and passing orders under the caption 'Electricity Board - Conferment of Permanent Status cases' on 08.07.2021.

Sd
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (A.S.)

TRUE COPY

30/04/2021
SUB ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (CS IV)

Ns/SRM

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



To

1.The Superintending Engineer,
Ramanathapuram Electricity Distribution Circle,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Now TANGEDCO,
Ramanathapuram.

2.The Assistant Engineer,
Rameswaram Electricity Division,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Now TANGEDCO,
Ramanathapuram.

Copy to

1 S.Thulasinathan
Contract Labour
Son of P.Subramanian
3/105, South Street,
Uthirakosamangai
Ramanathapuram.

2 The Registrar (Judicial)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

3 The Section Officer,
Writ Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

RK
30/04/2021
4P/6C

W.P. (MD) No. 16051 of 2017
and
W.M.P (MD) Nos.12716 and 12717 of 2017

Dated : 27.04.2021