



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 28.11.2022

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

Crl.O.P(MD).No.20951 of 2022

A.Muthuramalingam,

: Petitioner

Vs

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai City, Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppalai Police Station,
Madurai District.

3. S.Veeravanchinathan,
4. Rengammal,
5. Subramanian,
6. Kamaraj,
7. Sathyamoorthy,
8. Nehru,
9. Lakshmi,
10. Kodikatha Kumaran,
11. Gandhi,
12. Sarasu,
13. Pandi,
14. Kaleeswaran,
15. Jothi,
16. Kanmani,
17. Rajeswari,

: Respondents



Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying, to issue necessary direction to the 1st respondent to provide necessary police protection in pursuant to the petitioner's representation, dated 07.11.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.H.Velavadhas

For R1 and R2 : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This criminal original petition had been filed seeking a direction to the 1st Respondent to provide necessary police protection in pursuant to the petitioner's representation dated 07.11.2022.

2.The learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner submits that the Respondents 4 and 5 had preferred a suit in O.S.No.92 of 2011 before the learned Additional District Munsif, Madurai Town and the same was dismissed. Against which, they had filed an Appeal before the learned Principal Sub-ordinate Judge, Madurai, which was also dismissed. Subsequently, they preferred a Second Appeal before this Court, that was also dismissed. In spite of the same, the Respondents 3 to 17 had preferred a complaint against the Petitioner before the second Respondent. Further, he submits that the Petitioner himself had filed a petition in W.P(MD)No.101 of 2022 seeking to remove the



encroachment in Anthaneri Kanmai, Tallakulam Village, Madurai North Taluk, Madurai District, which was allowed by the Division Bench of this Court. The fourth Respondent is an Ex-Councillor of Madurai Corporation and at her instance only, the second Respondent had summoned the Petitioner. The Petitioner apprehends threat to his life and limb. The Respondents 3 to 17 belong to the same family and there had been several cases pending against them in Crime Nos.487 of 2010, 2117 of 2010, 2364 of 2010, 281 of 2011 and 208 of 2016.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the second Respondent had registered CSR, where the Petitioner herein and the eighth Respondent attended enquiry.

4.In the light of the order passed in W.P(MD)No.101 of 2022, dated 28.10.2022, the Respondents 1 and 2 are directed to protect the life and limb of the petitioner in W.P(MD)No.101 of 2022 and also the Respondents 1 and 2 are directed to warn the Respondents 3 to 17 against any threat to the life and limb of the Petitioner, failing which, they will face the contempt of the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.20951 of 20

5. With the above directions, this criminal original petition is disposed of.

WEB COPY

28.11.2022

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
lr

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai City, Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppalai Police Station,
Madurai District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P(MD)No.20951 of 20

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

lr

CrI.O.P(MD)No.20951 of 2022

28.11.2022