



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/11/2022

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.A.NAKKIRAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.20566 of 2022

R.Soorya @ Venkita Subramanian

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Kadayanallur Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.369/2022).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Rajaboopathy D,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Veeranthiran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C


PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr No.369/2022 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 448, 427 & 506(2) IPC, in Cr.No.369 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 23.10.2022, the son of the defacto complainant drove his two wheeler in a rash and negligent manner. Hence the petitioner advised him to go slowly. Subsequently, the son of the defacto complainant using filthy language against the petitioner. Due to which, the petitioner and the other accused said to have trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant, abused him and his son in filthy language and attacked them with hands, legs and aruval and caused injury. Further, caused damage to the door & windows of the house. Hence, the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that A2 & A4 were already granted anticipatory bail by the Lower Court and no previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the totally 5 accused are involved in this case. The petitioner was arrayed as A1. A5 was arrested and later released on bail. A2 & A4 were granted anticipatory bail by the lower court. A3 was arrested and still in custody. The injured was treated as out patient. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, the accused damaged the door and windows of the defacto complainant's house and caused damage worth about Rs.3,000/- and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the facts that the injured was treated as out patient, no bad antecedent is reported against the petitioner and co-accused were released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi, Tenkasi District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am until further orders.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
21/11/2022

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TENKASI, TENKASI DISTRICT.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADAYANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TENKASI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.D.RAJABOOPATHY, Advocate (SR-13556[I] dated 23/11/2022)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20566 of 2022
Date :21/11/2022

dss
USK/BUC/SAR-III/29.11.2022/3P/6C