



WEB COPY



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22/11/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.A.NAKKIRAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.20620 of 2022

1. Mani @ Masilamani @ Masila Manikandan
2. Muthupandi
3. Siyamala

... Petitioners/Accused
Nos.1, 3 & 4

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Vilampatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.152/2022).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.Veerapandi Selvaraj S,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.152/2022 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1, A3 & A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.152 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.



2.The case of the prosecution is that on 24.10.2022, the petitioners and other accused were bursting crackers in front of the defacto complainant's house and when the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the accused persons abused the defacto complainant and her husband in filthy language and attacked them with wooden stick and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that due to previous dispute, a false case has been foisted against the petitioners and they are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the injured has discharged from the hospital and co-accused were already released on bail. Hence, the petitioners may be granted anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital and co-accused were already released on bail. However, considering the gravity of the offence and the investigation is not yet completed, he strongly opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. and the investigation is still pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the facts that injured has already been discharged from the hospital and the co-accused were already released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakkottai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

Sd/-
22/11/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.III)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

CP

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NILAKKOTTAI.
2. -DO- THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VILAMPATTI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.VEERAPANDI SELVARAJ S Advocate SR.No.13454

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20620 of 2022
Date :22/11/2022

SP/BUC/SAR III/30/11/2022/3P/6C