



1

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Dated: 25/11/2022

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice **G.ILANGOVAN**

Cr1.OP(MD)No.20341 of 2022

Ganesan

:Petitioner/A1

Vs.

State through
The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(In Crime No.71 of 2022)

: Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner

: Mr.Mohamed Ansar.S

For Respondent

: Mr.S.Ravi
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER:-

C-32AB.For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.71 of 2022
on the file of the Respondent Police.



was found in possession of 7 bags ganja weighing 20 kgs each. After that, as per the procedure, sampling was undertaken and the above said accused was arrested and remanded to judicial custody. On the basis of the confession statement, it came to light that one Ganeshan is the merchant of trading of ganja and he used to go to Andhra Pradesh and other places for the purpose of purchasing ganja. After purchasing the same, they used to sell the same through Arunpandi, Muthupandi, Amsupandi and Saravanan. So on the basis of the above said confession statement, the involvement of the other accused persons came to light.

3.Heard both sides.

4.Earlier application that was filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this court, considering the huge quantity of the contraband that has been recovered and CD file was also perused on the earlier occasion and came to know that the petitioner is continuously engaged in Ganja Trading and the vehicle, which was used for transporting the above said ganja has been hired by the petitioner.



5.It has been submitted that a wrong information has been given to this court that this petitioner hired the vehicle from one Ramasamy. According to him, there is no proof for the same. But reading of the statement of the above said Ramasamy shows that he is implicated this petitioner stating that he hired the vehicle. So the contention that the above said Ramasamy has given a statement that only one Gunasekaran has hired the vehicle and he has arrayed as A2 is not at all correct on record.

6.When serious allegation has been made, the contention on the part of the petitioner that only based upon the confession of the co-accused, this petitioner has been arrayed as an accused is not at all convincing. Absolutely, I find no change of circumstances and the person like the the petitioner must undergo the custodial interrogation to bring out the truth.

7.In the result, this criminal original petition is **dismissed.**

(G I J)
25.11.2022

Index:Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No
er



5

WEB COPY

To,

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



6

G.ILANGOVA N J

er

Cr1.OP (MD) No.20341 of 2022

25/11/2022



WEB COPY

