



WEB COPY



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 14/11/2022

PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.A.NAKKIRAN**

**CRL OP(MD) . No.20104 of 2022**

P.Mariyappan

... Petitioner/Accused  
Rank Not Known

Vs

State rep.by  
The Forest Range Officer,  
Kalakad,  
Tirunelveli District.  
(WLOR No.04/2022).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Rajkumar P, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


To enlarge the Petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in WLOR No.04/2022 pending investigation on the file of the Respondent Police and thus render justice.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 2, 9, 39, 50 and 51 of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, in W.L.O.R.No.4 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 14.10.2022, one bear died due to electrocution in the fencing, which was put up by the co-accused in their agricultural land. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner is a daily wages



employee. He only helped to dispose the bear. The petitioner's name was not found in the FIR. The co-accused (A2 & A5) were granted anticipatory bail by this Court. A4 was arrested and released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate Court, Nanguneri, Tirunelveli District. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that A2 & A5 and 1 unnamed accused were granted anticipatory bail by this Court. A4 was arrested and released on bail by the lower Court. However, the investigation is pending. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the co-accused were granted anticipatory bail by this Court & released on bail by the lower Court, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri, Tirunelveli District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am until further orders.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sd/-  
14/11/2022

/11/2022  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.III)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

DSS

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
NANGUNERI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
2. -DO-THROUGH  
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
THIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
3. THE FOREST RANGER,  
KALAKAD, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.20104 of 2022  
Date :14/11/2022

SP/SSS/SAR III/23/11/2022/3P/5C