



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD). N



22

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07/11/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.A.NAKKIRAN

CRL OP(MD). No.19647 of 2022

1. Karuppasamy

2. Veeramanikandan

... Petitioners/Accused No.2&3

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Elayirampennai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.129 of 2022..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Arumugam C.M.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C
PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.129 of 2022 on the file of
the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A2 & A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of
the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294
(b), 323, 324, 506(2) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition
of Women Harassment Act, 2002, in Crime No.129 of 2022, seek
anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant
is a handicapped. The accused persons went to the residence of one
Thirumalai in drunken mode and damaged the motor cycle belongs to
him. The defacto complainant was looking their activities from her
house. On seeing the same, the accused persons abused her in filthy
language, threatened her with dire consequences and assaulted her
with wooden log and aruval. When the same was questioned by her son,
the accused persons abused and assaulted him. Hence, the complaint.

<https://www.tn.gov.in/dis>



3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital. The petitioners are having 3 previous cases. The investigation is still pending and A1 is still absconding. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Sattur, Virudhunagar District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report **before the Virudhunagar Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District, daily at 10.30 am until further orders.**

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(f)if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
07/11/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2022

WEB COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

DSS

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I SATTUR
- 2 DO-THROUGH :
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUTHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ELAYIRAMPANNAI POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

COPY TO:

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR TOWN POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.ARUMUGAM C.M. Advocate SR.No.12511

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19647 of 2022
Date :07/11/2022

SS/BUC/SAR III/17.11.2022/ 3P/ 7C