



W.P.(MD)No.23292 of 2021

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 13.07.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P.(MD)No.23292 of 2021

and

W.M.P(MD)Nos.19725 & 19726 of 2021

S.P.Mani

... Petitioner

Vs

The Thisayanvilai Town Panchayat,
Through its
Executive Officer,
Thisayanvilai,
Radhapuram Taluk,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by the respondent herein vide proceedings in Na.Ka.No.42/2019 dated 21.12.2021 and quash the same as illegal and further direct the respondent herein to remove the lock and seal in Shop No.9, constructed under All Town Panchayat Anna Marumalarchi Scheme, Thisayanvilai Town Panchayat, Thisayanvilai, Tirunelveli District, within a stipulated time fixed by this Court.



W.P.(MD)No.23292 of 2021

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Nallathambi
For Respondent : Mr.S.P.Maharajan
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel on either side.

2. The petitioner was inducted as a tenant under the respondent Panchayat in respect of the petition mentioned shop. The grievance of the petitioner is that fair rent was not fixed and that is why the petitioner could not fulfil his contractual obligation. The stand of the petitioner is that without giving a fair opportunity to the petitioner, by the impugned proceedings, the shop has been locked and sealed. Seeking its removal, the present writ petition has been filed.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner reiterated all the contentions set out in the affidavit in support of the writ petition and called upon this Court to grant relief as prayed for.

4. I am not persuaded by the submissions of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. As pointed out by the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent Panchayat, the arrears payable by the petitioner has mounted to a huge figure. It is seen that the default is from the year 2013



W.P.(MD)No.23292 of 2021

onwards. When the Panchayat fixed the fair rent, the petitioner filed a civil suit. The matter went right upto Second Appeal. This is virtually the second round of litigation. When the petitioner's challenge to the fixation of rent was unsuccessful, the petitioner was bound to pay the amount as fixed by the respondent Panchayat. When the petitioner committed default, the respondent Panchayat was left with no option but to lock and seal the premises. The step taken by the respondent Panchayat cannot be set to be illegal. In any event, grant of relief under Article 226 of Constitution of India depends on the conduct of the petitioner. The petitioner has committed default right from the year 2013 onwards. He is not justified in calling upon this Court to direct the respondent to open the shop in question.

5. The writ petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. No costs.

13.07.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
mga



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.23292 of 2021

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

mga

To

The Executive Officer,
Thisayanvilai Town Panchayat,
Thisayanvilai,
Radhapuram Taluk,
Tirunelveli District.

W.P.(MD)No.23292 of 2021

13.07.2022