



W.P.(MD)Nos.2250 to 2255 of 2016

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.08.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.CHANDRASEKHARAN

W.P.(MD)Nos.2250 to 2255 of 2016

W.P.(MD)No.2250 of 2016

Tuticorin Port Trust Democratic Staff Union,
Rep. by its General Secretary R.Rusel,
Tuticorin Port Trust,
Tuticorin - 628 004.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Government of India,
Rep. by its Under Secretary to Government,
Ministry of Shipping (PHRD Division),
Parliament Street,
New Delhi - 110 001.

2.The Chairman,
V.O. Chidambaranar Port Trust,
Tuticorin - 628 004,
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Traffic Manager,
V.O. Chidambaranar Port Trust,
Tuticorin - 628 004.

... Respondents



W.P.(MD)Nos.2250 to 2255 of 2016

WEB COPY

PRAYER in W.P.(MD) No.2250 of 2016 :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records pertaining to the impugned letter and guidelines issued by the first respondent through his proceedings in F.No.1-26-7-2015-PE-1, dated 29.10.2015 and the consequential impugned notification issued by the third respondent for contractual appointments in File No.T-1/6/2015-E.1 dated 24.11.2015 and quash the same.

In all writ petitions

For Petitioner : Mr.L.Shaji Chellan

For Respondents : Mr.D.Saravanan - for R1

Mr. A.Aruivuchandran - for R2 & R3

COMMON ORDER

When these Writ Petitions are taken up for hearing today, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that certain persons were appointed to the post of Chief Manager, Senior Manager, and Manager for a period of three years, on contract basis. The above



W.P.(MD)Nos.2250 to 2255 of 2016

appointments were made in the year 2015. Now the tenure of three years period is over and therefore, there is nothing remains to be adjudicated further in these writ petitions.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that he has also acknowledged the view of the petitioner. The tenure of the above said appointments got over and hence, nothing survives in these writ petitions.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, the prayer sought for in these writ petitions has become infructuous

4. Accordingly, these Writ Petitions are dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

02.08.2022

Internet: Yes
Index : Yes/No
rm

3/4



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)Nos.2250 to 2255 of 2016

G.CHANDRASEKHARAN, J.

rm

W.P.(MD)Nos.2250 to 2255 of 2016

02.08.2022