



W.P(MD)No.19746 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: **02.11.2022**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

W.P(MD)No.19746 of 2016

P.Periyammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Trichy District,
Trichy.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Lalgudi,
Trichy District.

3.The Tahsildar,
Lalgudi Taluk,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the third respondent to carry out the correction such as petitioner's name as Periyammal instead of Periyammal Pidariyamman Kovil Tharkala Nambagar in the revenue record in respect of her property comprised in Survey No.317/2A measuring about 0.24 Ares situated at Melakudi Village, Lalkudi Taluk, Trichy District by considering her representation, dated 28.08.2015.



W.P(MD)No.19746 of 2016

For Petitioner : M/s.T.Lenin Kumar

For Respondents : M/s.A.Baskaran
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus, directing the Tahsildar to carry out corrections in the revenue records.

2. According to the learned Counsel for the petitioner, Survey No. 317/2A measuring about 0.24 ares situated at Melakudi Village, Lalkudi Taluk, Trichy District is her separate property. A patta was also granted in her, namely, Periyammal. However, during UDR proceedings, the patta has been incorporated as Periyammal Pidariyamman Kovil Tharkala Nambagar. In other words, the private property of the writ petitioner has been converted into the property of a Temple. According to the petitioner, the Temple is located in the adjacent survey number and the Temple has nothing to do with the property in dispute.



W.P(MD)No.19746 of 2016

3. It is the allegation of the petitioner that during UDR proceedings, such an error has been crept in. In case, if the mistake has happened during UDR proceedings, the competent authority will be only, the District Revenue Officer, Trichy and not the third respondent herein. Hence, the prayer sought for in the writ petition cannot be granted. However, the writ petitioner is at liberty to approach the District Revenue Officer, Trichy with appropriate application for the rectification of the alleged mistake.

4. With the above said observations, the writ petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

02.11.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
btr

To

1.The District Collector,
Trichy District,
Trichy.

3/4



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.19746 of 2016

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

btr

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Lalgudi,
Trichy District.

3.The Tahsildar,
Lalgudi Taluk,
Trichy District.

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.19746 of 2016

02.11.2022