



W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1901 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 29.09.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1901 of 2016

M.Habibulla,

... Petitioner
(in W.P(MD) No.1899 of 2016)

L.Thirumalaimuthu,

... Petitioner
(in W.P(MD) No.1900 of 2016)

A.Muthiah,

... Petitioner
(in W.P(MD) No.1901 of 2016)

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by its,
The Secretary to Government
Home Department,
Fort.St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Director General of Police,
Kamarajar Salai,
Mylapore,
Chennai – 600 004.

3. The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Tirunelveli Range,
Tirunelveli.

4.The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.

... Respondents
(in all Writ Petitions)



W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1901 of 2016

Prayer in W.P(MD) No.1899 of 2016 : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records on the file of the fourth respondent in connection with the impugned order passed by him in his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.A2/47402/2015-20, dated 23.09.2015 and quash the same as illegal and arbitrary in so far as the petitioner is concerned and consequently direct the respondents to fix the seniority and give promotional salary benefits to the petitioner notionally in the light of order made in W.P(MD).Nos.2888 of 2011 and 2989/2011, dated 27.06.2011 and 4864/2011, dated 27.04.2011 within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.

Prayer in W.P(MD) No.1900 of 2016 : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records on the file of the third and fourth respondents in connection with the impugned orders passed by them in their proceedings in Na.Ka.No.A1/5891/2015-421, dated 29.09.2015 and Na.Ka.No.A2/47402/2015- 25, dated 26.09.2015 and quash the both as illegal and arbitrary in so far as the petitioner is concerned and consequently direct the respondents to fix the seniority and give promotional salary benefits to the petitioner notionally in the light of order made in W.P(MD).No.2888/2011 and 2989/2011, dated 27.06.2011 and W.P(MD).No.4864/2011, dated 27.04.2011 within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.

Prayer in W.P(MD) No.1901 of 2016 : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records on the file of the fourth respondent in connection with the impugned order passed by him in his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.A2/47402/2015-15, dated 23.09.2015 and quash the same as illegal



W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1901 of 2016

and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to fix the seniority and give promotional salary benefits to the petitioner notionally in the light of order made in W.P.(MD).No.2888/2011 and 2989/2011, dated 27.06.2011 and 4864/2011, dated 27.04.2011 within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.

(In all Writ Petitions)

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Thalaimutharasu

For Respondents : Mrs.D.Farjana Ghoushia,
Special Government Pleader.

COMMON ORDER

The Writ Petitions have been filed for Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to quash the impugned order and consequently direct the respondents to fix the seniority and give promotional salary benefits to the petitioner notionally in the light of order made in W.P.(MD).No.2888/2011 and 2989/2011, dated 27.06.2011 and 4864/2011, dated 27.04.2011.


2. When the writ petitions were taken up for hearing, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submitted that the issue of granting upgradation / promotion to the next level in the Police Department was considered by the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court vide order dated 04.02.2022 passed in *State of Tamil Nadu and others Vs. C. Srinivasan (W.A. Nos. 3748 of 2019 batch order dated 04.02.2022)* reported in *2022 (1) CTC 833*. The



W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1901 of 2016

issue that was referred is whether the upgradation/promotion to the next level can be granted only on completion of qualifying service as prescribed by the relevant Government Orders or can it be granted as deemed upgradation or deemed promotion. The Hon'ble Full Bench has held that the proposition laid down in the case of ***The Principal Secretary to Government Vs. V.Ramachandran and others [Review Application Nos.70 to 79 etc. of 2015 dated 22.03.2017]*** has laid down the correct proposition of law. The judgment rendered in the case of ***The Government of Tamil Nadu represented by Home Secretary Vs. V.Samy and others [W.A.(MD).No.1506 of 2011 etc. batch dated 17.06.2013]***, did not lay down correct proposition of law and the Hon'ble Full Bench overruled the judgment of Sami's case (stated supra). The Hon'ble Full Bench has held that there is no question of deemed upgradation or deemed promotion and the benefit can be extended only on completion of qualifying service in each level. The relevant portion of the order is extracted hereunder:

“We hold that the Division Bench in V.Samy case did not lay down the law correctly and we uphold the law laid down in V.Ramachandran case to the extent that there is no deemed upgradation or deemed promotion contemplated in the relevant Government orders and the benefit of upgradation/promotion to the next level can be granted/claimed only on completion of the qualifying service in each level/rank as prescribed in the relevant Government Orders. At the risk of repetition, insofar as



3. Following the Hon'ble Full Bench Judgment, the Hon'ble Division Bench in W.A.Nos.3748 of 2019 etc., batch has allowed the Writ Appeals filed in this state.

4. At this juncture, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that against the judgment of Ramachandran case (stated supra), S.L.P. No(s).6980 to 6996 of 2018 was filed and the case is pending. The Learned Special Government Pleader submitted that the similar plea was submitted before the Learned Single Judge while considering the case filed in W.P.(MD).No.17111 of 2019, wherein, the said Writ Petition was dismissed vide order dated 02.03.2022 and the relevant portion is extracted hereunder:



W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1901 of 2016

“3. However, this Court is of the considered opinion that in the event of disposal of the Special Leave Petition by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, if any benefit is granted, thereafter, the petitioner is at liberty to claim the same based on the judgment to be passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India”.

5. Hence, following the judgment dated 04.02.2022 of the Hon'ble Full Bench and the judgment dated 15.03.2022 rendered by Hon'ble Division Bench in W.A.Nos.3748 of 2019 etc., batch and the judgment rendered in W.P.(MD).No.17111 of 2019 by Learned Single Judge, this Court is inclined to dismiss the present Writ Petitions. Hence, these Writ Petitions are dismissed. However, if any benefit is granted in Special Leave Petition by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioners are at liberty to claim the same after the disposal of the SLP. There shall be no order as to costs.

29.09.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Nsr



W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1901 of 2016

To

1. The Secretary to Government
Home Department,
Fort.St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.
2. The Director General of Police,
Kamarajar Salai,
Mylapore,
Chennai – 600 004.
3. The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Tirunelveli Range,
Tirunelveli.
4. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1901 of 2016

S.SRIMATHY, J.

Nsr

W.P.(MD).Nos.1899 to 1900 of 2016

29.09.2022