



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.12.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P.(MD)No.22224 of 2021

D.Raveendran

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The District Revenue Officer,
Trichy, Trichy District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Civil Supplies Crime Investigation
Department,
Madurai Range, Trichy,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents to release the petitioner's TATA Ace bearing Registration No.TN 45 AL 8331 seized by the second respondent police by considering the petitioner's representation dated 10.12.2021.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Chandrakumar

For R1 : Mr.A.K.Manikkam,
Special Government Pleader

For R2 : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh,
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)



WEB COPY



ORDER

Heard the learned counsel on either side.

2.The petition mentioned vehicle was seized in connection with Crime No.173 of 2021 registered on the file of the second respondent. The petition mentioned vehicle is presently in the custody of the respondents. The vehicle is said to have been used for illegally transporting 800 lrs of PDS Kerosene.

3.It is of course open to the respondents to initiate confiscation proceedings. But in this case, we are concerned only with the issue of granting interim custody of the vehicle to the petitioner. I am of the view that no purpose will be served in keeping the vehicle under the custody of the respondents. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported in ***(2002) 10 SCC 283 (Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and Others V. State of Gujarat)*** has held as follows:-

"17.In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking



appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.

4. Therefore, the respondents are directed to grant interim custody of the said vehicle to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle till the proceedings are completed.
- b) The petitioner shall produce all the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle. If the petitioner is an agreement holder, he can produce the relevant xerox copies.
- c) As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.

5. The respondents shall grant interim custody of the vehicle forthwith to the petitioner without any delay. If the undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled to interim custody of the vehicle in future. The Writ Petition is allowed accordingly. I make it clear that allowing of this writ petition will not have any bearing on the



confiscation proceedings that may be initiated by the authorities. No costs.

23.12.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
skm

To:

- 1.The District Revenue Officer,
Trichy, Trichy District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Civil Supplies Crime Investigation
Department,
Madurai Range, Trichy,
Trichy District.



WEB COPY



G.R.SWAMINATHAN,J.

SKM

W.P.(MD)No.22224 of 2021

23.12.2022