



HCP(MD)No.1855 of 2021

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 17.08.2022

CORAM

The Honourable Mr. Justice **P.N.PRAKASH**
and
The Honourable Mrs. Justice **R.HEMALATHA**

H.C.P.(MD)No.1855 of 2021

Amutha

... Petitioner/Wife of the Detenu

Vs

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Fort St.George,
Chennai- 600 009..

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Avudaiyarkovil Police Station,
Pudukottai District.

4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Trichy.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a



HCP(MD)No.1855 of 2021

writ of Habeas Corpus to direct the respondents to produce the person or body of detenu namely [Koolu@Kalidoss](#), aged about 30 years, S/o.Angamuthu, before this Court, who is now detained in the Central Prison, Trichy in pursuant to the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent in P.D.O.No.62/2021 dated 16.11.2021 and to call for the records and quash the same and release the detenu at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **P.N.PRAKASH, J.**]

The petitioner is the father of the detenu viz., M.Kannan, aged about 29 years, S/o.Muthu. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in P.D.O.No.68/2021 dated 17.12.2021 holding him to be a "Sexual Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(ggg) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We



HCP(MD)No.1855 of 2021

have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

WEB COPY

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 18.12.2021. The



HCP(MD)No.1855 of 2021

petitioner made a representation dated 18.03.2022. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 22.03.2022.

The remarks were duly received on 17.05.2022. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 24.05.2022.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 55 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, of which 22 days were Government holidays and hence there was an inordinate delay of 33 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received on 17.05.2022 and there was a delay of 6 days, in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which, 2 days were a Government Holiday and hence, there was inordinate delay of 4 days in considering the representation.

7. In **Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu (2011 (5) SCC 244)**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and



HCP(MD)No.1855 of 2021

their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In **Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145)**, a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In **Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others**, reported in **1980 (2) SCC 321**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 33 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 4 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

11. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order



HCP(MD)No.1855 of 2021

of detention in P.D.O.No.68/2021 dated 17.12.2021 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., M.Kannan, S/o.N.Muthu, aged about 29 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(P.N.P.,J.) (R.H.,J.)
17.08.2022

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes

RR

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Fort St.George,
Chennai- 600 009..

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Avudaiyarkovil Police Station,
Pudukottai District.

4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Trichy.

5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1855 of 2021

P.N.PRAKASH,J.
and
R.HEMALATHA,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.1855 of 2021

17.08.2022