



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD). N



22

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/10/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.A.NAKKIRAN

CRL OP(MD). No.17853 of 2022

A.Arivumani

... Petitioner/Accused(Rank 24)

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Economic Offence Wing-II,
Madurai District.
Crime No.8 of 2016..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Manavalan K,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthu Manikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory bail in Crime No.8 of 2016 on the file of the
Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner apprehending arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under section 406,
420, 120(b) IPC and Section 5 of TNPID Act in Crime No.8 of 2016 on
the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner received
the deposit amount from the complainant and others, which was
deposited in Madurai Rural Development Benefit Fund India Ltd.,
after maturity of the same was not refunded. Hence, the compliant.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would
submit that the petitioner is only introducer to the de facto
complainant and other depositors and he is innocent and he has not
committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has
not been directly implicated in this case.

<https://www.mca.gov.in/>



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that totally 36 accused involved in this case and 339 depositors invested the amount in the said finance company and the amount involved in this offence is Rs.15,66,00,193/-. He would further submit that the petitioner is working as a collecting agent and hence, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary and he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent and that the amount involved in this offence is very huge and also considering the serious nature of allegations made against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-

18/10/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING-II, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.17853 of 2022

Date :18/10/2022

SS/SSS/SAR IV/14/11/2022/ 2P 3C