



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD)No.15629 of 2018

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 16.06.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

W.P.(MD)No.15629 of 2018

and

WMP (MD) Nos.14034 and 14035 of 2018

Janab A.K.Mohideen Abdul Kadher

.. Petitioner

Vs

1.The Chief Executive Officer/District Revenue Officer
Tamil Nadu Wakf Board
Jaffer Syrang Street,
Vallal Seethakathi Nagar
Chennai.

2. The Superintendent of Wakf
Ramanathapuram Region,
Ramanathapuram District.

3. Janab A.K.M.Mohideen Pitchai,
Bajar Palllivasal
Muslim Kabarsthan Pallivasal and Idha Wakfs
Karaikudi, Sivagangai District .. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records of the impugned proceedings of the 1st respondent herein in his proceedings dated 26.06.2018 in ந.க.எண்.No.1831/14/ஆ3/சிவக and quash the same as illegal and further issue appropriate direction directing the respondent herein to not to interfere with the management of the Karaikudi Aikiya Muslim Jamath at Sekkalai Road, Karaikudi without following due process of law.


For Petitioner	: Mr.J.Anandakumar
For Respondents	: Mr.N.Mohideen Basha
No.1 and 2	Standing Counsel
No.3	: Mr.P.M.Vishnu Varthanan

ORDER

The petition has filed directing the first respondent to consider and pass orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 08.06.2017 with regard to the removal of the third respondent as Muthavalli of the third respondent mosque.

2. The petitioner was elected as President of Wakf along with

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



26 administration Committee members members in the general body meeting 20.10.2016. The tenure is for the period of three years from 2016-2019. The petitioner and others assumed office.

3. The learned counsel appearing of the wakf has submitted that through impugned notice dated 31.10.2017 the first respondent directed the Superintendent of Wakf to send a report for conducting fresh election. Thereafter on that election the third respondent was elected as President. Through an impugned notice dated 26.06.2028, the petitioner was directed to hand over the records to the third respondent and he has also handed over the same.

4. The respondent have not disputed the contention of the petitioner. Hence no specific order is required to be passed in the petition.

5. In view of the same, the petition stands dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

/07/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Aav

+1 CC to M/s.P.M. VISHNUVARTHANAN, Advocate (SR-26274[F] dated 16/06/2022)

W.P. (MD)No.15629 of 2018

and

WMP(MD) Nos.14034 and 14035 of 2018

16.06.2022

RD(01.07.2022) 2P 2C