



CrI.O.P(MD)No.17283 of 20

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 14.11.2022

WEB COPY

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CrI.O.P(MD).No.17283 of 2022

K.Thangaraj,

: Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Paramakudi Circle,
Ramanathapuram District

3. The Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Taluk Police Station,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District

4. D.Rajendran,

: Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying, to direct the respondents to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and his properties situated at Ramanathapuram District, Paramakudi Taluk, Manjoor Village vide patta No.820 in survey numbers 197/2, 197/3 and 197/5, in the light of the order made in மு.மு.அ2/2408/2022,



dated 10.05.2022, on the file of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Paramakudi and on the basis of the petitioner's representation, dated 20.07.2022.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Kaliraj

For R1 to R3 : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and his properties situated at Ramanathapuram District, Paramakudi Taluk, Manjoor Village vide patta No.820.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this petition has been filed seeking police protection for the petitioner and his family members and his properties.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that when the petitioner's representation, date 20.07.2022, was taken up for enquiry, it was found that the subject matter is civil in nature. Hence the same was closed and the petitioner shall approach the civil Court for appropriate remedy.

4.By way of rejoinder, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that the fourth respondent disturbed his peaceful possession of the property and the petitioner is the actual owner of the property. For maintaining the property, the petitioner appointed one Selvamaniammal. While so, the fourth respondent had attempted to trespass and grab the same.

5.As rightly pointed out by the learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side), the subject matter relates to a civil dispute. Hence the petitioner is directed to approach the civil Court and obtain appropriate order.

6.With the above direction, this criminal original petition stands closed.

14.11.2022

Index :Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
lr

To
1. The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Paramakudi Circle,
Ramanathapuram District



CrI.O.P(MD)No.17283 of 20

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.
lr

WEB COPY

3. The Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Taluk Police Station,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

CrI.O.P(MD)No.17283 of 2022

14.11.2022