



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 05.01.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.18383 of 2021

WEB COPY
Pagavathy

... Petitioner /
Defacto Complainant

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women police station,
Pudukkottai District.

... Respondents 1 & 2 /
Complainant

3.Chinnathurai

... 3rd Respondent / 1st Accused

4.The Regional Passport Officer,
Tiruchirappalli.

5.The High Commission of India,
31, Grange Road,
Singapore - 239 702.

... Respondents 4 & 5

(R-4 & R-5 were *suo motu* impleaded
vide Order dated 24.11.2021)


Prayer: Criminal Original petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to direct respondents 1 and 2 to take action against the third respondent as contemplated under Section 82 and 83 of Cr.P.C., in C.C.No.271 of 2019 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Keeranur.

For Petitioner	: Mr.K.P.Narayanakumar
For R-1 & R-2	: Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh, Government Advocate (Crl. Side).
For R-4 & R-5	: Ms.L.Victoria Gowri, Assistant Solicitor General of India.

* * *

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate appearing for respondents 1 and 2 and the learned Assistant Solicitor General of India appearing for respondents 4 and 5.



2. The petitioner is the defacto complainant in C.C. No.271 of 2019 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Keeranur. The petitioner is a police constable. Her grievance is that on account of the abscondance of her husband who is the accused in that case, the matter was not being speeded up. Hence, this criminal original petition came to be filed.

3. This Court *suo motu* impleaded the Regional Passport Officer as well as the High Commission of India and requested the learned Assistant Solicitor General of India to extend all possible assistance so that the petitioner will get justice. I am happy to record that due to the efforts taken by the learned Assistant Solicitor General of India, the whereabouts of the accused could be ascertained. Once the whereabouts were ascertained, immediately he came down to India and it is stated that the proceedings have also concluded.

4. The matter has become infructuous. This criminal original petition is disposed of accordingly.

5. This Court records its sincere appreciation for the assistance rendered by the learned Assistant Solicitor General of India.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2022


Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

PMU

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To:

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Keeranur,
Pudukkottai District.
- 2.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District.



3.The Inspector of Police,
All Women police station,
Pudukkottai District.

4.The Regional Passport Officer,
Tiruchirappalli.

5.The High Commission of India,
31, Grange Road,
Singapore - 239 702.

6.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

7.The learned Assistant Solicitor General of India,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.K.P.NARAYANAKUMAR, Advocate (SR-509[F]
dated 06/01/2022)

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.18383 of 2021
05.01.2022

NSN (CO)

GC(19.01.2022) 3P 9C