



WEB COPY



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 15/09/2022

PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice R.THARANI**

**CRL OP(MD) . No.16620 of 2022**

Annadurai ... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State rep.by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Natham Police Station,  
Dindigul District.  
(Crime No.282 of 2022). ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Venkateswaran R, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.282 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 379, 447, 294(b) and 506(i)IPC, in Cr.No.282 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 17.08.2022, the petitioner and three others trespassed into the de-facto complainant's land, cut the coconuts worth about Rs.10,000/- and kept them stored in a hut in front of the house of the petitioner's brother. When the same was questioned by the de-facto complainant, the accused persons abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language and threatened him with aruval. Hence, the complaint.



3. On the side of the petitioner, it is stated that due to land dispute between the parties, a false case was foisted against the petitioner and prayed the petitioner to be released on anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

4. On the side of the prosecution, it is stated that due to land dispute between the parties, the offence was taken place. It is further stated that the petitioner is having one previous case, which is similar in nature and prayed the petition to be dismissed.

5. Considering the nature of the offence and on considering the fact that the co-accused were already released on bail and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Natham, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(iv) the petitioner shall be present before the Court on hearing dates and before the respondent police as and when required;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

Sd/-  
15/09/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/09/2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.I)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM  
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NATHAM.
2. -DO- THROUGH  
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
NATHAM POLICE STATION,  
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.VENKATESWARAN R Advocate SR.No.10025

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.16620 of 2022  
Date :15/09/2022