



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.02.2022

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

W.P (MD) .Nos.2883 to 2888 of 2014

A.Vijayakumar	... Petitioner in WP(MD).2883 of 2014
G.Gurusamy	... Petitioner in WP(MD).2884 of 2014
M. Manikandan	... Petitioner in WP(MD).2885 of 2014
M.Senthamaraikannan	... Petitioner in WP(MD).2886 of 2014
A. Senthilkumar	... Petitioner in WP(MD).2887 of 2014
S.Karthik	... Petitioner in WP(MD).2888 of 2014

Vs.

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai District, Madurai.

2.The Tahsildar,
Peraiyur Taluk,
Madurai District.

3.Muthuvel .. Respondents

COMMON PRAYER: Writ Petitions are filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the respondents 1 and 2 from changing the patta standing in the name of the petitioner's at the behest of the 3rd respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 28.11.2013.

For Petitioners	: Mr.M.Kannan
For Respondents	: Mr.D.Sasikumar for R1 and R2
	Additional Government Pleader
	R3 - No Appearance
	(in all writ petitions)



COMMON ORDER

These writ petitions have been filed for a Mandamus to forbear the respondents 1 and 2 from changing the patta standing in the name of the petitioners at the behest of the 3rd respondent, by considering the petitioners' representation dated 28.11.2013.

2.The case of the petitioners is that originally patta for the subject properties were standing in the name of the petitioners and thereafter, it has been cancelled illegally. In such circumstances, they have given a representation to the second respondent dated 28.11.2013. Admittedly the said representation has not been considered till date.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions submit that the petitioners are satisfied if the representation dated 28.11.2013 is considered on merits and in accordance with law by the second respondent.

4.After hearing the submissions made by the respective counsels and since no prejudice will be caused to the respondent, if the petitioners' representation dated 28.11.2013 is considered on merits and in accordance with law, this Court directs the second respondent to consider the petitioners' representation dated 28.11.2013 and pass final orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5.With the aforesaid directions these writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Deputy Registrar (LA&MC)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.



To

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai District, Madurai.

2.The Tahsildar,
Peraiyur Taluk,
Madurai District.

+1 CC to M/s.SPL GP (SR-4757[F] dated 08/02/2022)

+1 CC to M/s.M.KANNAN, Advocate (SR-5128[F] dated 09/02/2022)

**W.P(MD) .Nos.2883 to 2888 of 2014
07.02.2022**

RD(02.03.2022) 3P 5C