



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD). N



22

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Reserved On : 13.09.2022

Pronounced On : 16.09.2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice R.THARANI

CRL OP(MD). No.16423 of 2022

V.Ragavan @ Veera Vijayaragavan, ... Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Soolakkarai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.149 of 2022.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Senthil Kumar,Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.149 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused No.3, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 465, 468, 471 and 407 of IPC, in Cr.No.149 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused by giving false promise to construct a house, received a sum of Rs.33,24,962/- from the de-facto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3.On the side of the petitioner, it is stated that the de-facto complainant entered into an agreement for construction with A1, who received a sum of Rs.33,24,962/-. A3 is the employee of A1 and he



has not received any money from the de-facto complainant and the petitioner to be released on anticipatory bail.

4. On the side of the prosecution, it is stated that the de-facto complainant gave a sum of Rs.33,24,962/- to A1 for constructing the house. A1 had spent a sum of Rs.18,94,000/- only to construct the house. A3 is the supervisor and all the accused cheated the de-facto complainant and created a forged bills and received huge amount from the de-facto complainant. It is further stated that the investigation is pending and prayed the petition to be dismissed.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the seriousness and gravity of the offence alleged and also considering the fact that investigation is still pending, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-

16/09/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/09/2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SOOLAKKARAI POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.16423 of 2022

Date :16/09/2022

sji

<https://www.mhcc.gov.in/cr-2/19.09.2022/2P/3C>