

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:09.09.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

W.P(MD)No.21435 of 2022

R.Paneerselvam

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Karaiyur Police Station,
Pudukottai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the Respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the “Aadal Padal” Programme on 09.09.2022 at 06.00 p.m to 11.00 p.m in connection with “ Thiru Vizha” of 'Arulmigu Sri Naliyanayagi Amman Thirukovil at Echampatti Village, Maravamadurai Post, Ponnamaravathi Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.Manikandan. V

For Respondents : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the “Aadal Padal” Programme on 09.09.2022 at 06.00 p.m to 11.00 p.m in connection with “ Thiru Vizha” of 'Arulmigu Sri Naliyanayagi Amman Thirukovil at Echampatti Village, Maravamadurai Post, Ponnamaravathi Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

2.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple Festival for the temple, by name, 'Arulmigu Sri Uyyavantha Amman Thirukovil' at Puduvayal Sakkottai, Karaikudi Taluk, Sivagangai District, is going to be held on 09.09.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated **03.09.2022**. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order

was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc. 007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated **03.09.2022** and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular, immediately.

G.ILANGO VAN, J

dss

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

09.09.2022

dss

Note: issue order copy on 09.09.2022

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukottai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Karaiyur Police Station,
Pudukottai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P(MD)No.21435 of 2022