



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.09.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGOVA

W.P (MD) No.21394 of 2022

M.Krishnamurthy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Tiruchirappalli District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Lalgudi Sub-Division,
Tiruchirappalli District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Lalgudi Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to grant permission for conduction the "Kabaddi Tournament" from 17.09.2022 to 19.09.2022 at T.Kalvikkudi Village, Lalgudi Circle, Tiruchirappalli District, based on the representation of the petitioner dated 30.08.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.Ramsundarvijayaraj

For Respondents : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to grant permission for conduction the "Kabaddi Tournament" from 17.09.2022 to 19.09.2022 at T.Kalvikkudi Village, Lalgudi Circle, Tiruchirappalli District, based on the representation of the petitioner, dated 30.08.2022.

2.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has decided to conduct Kabadi Tournament, which is scheduled to be held from 17.09.2022 to 19.09.2022 at T.Kalvikkudi Village, Lalgudi Circle, Tiruchirappalli District. Hence, he sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 30.08.2022. But, the third respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the third respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting sports. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated **30.08.2022** and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular, immediately.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AD-II)

// True Copy //

09/09/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

dss

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Tiruchirappalli District.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Lalgudi Sub-Division,
Tiruchirappalli District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Lalgudi Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli District.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P (MD) No.21394 of 2022

09.09.2022

USK/09.09.2022/3P/5C