



REV.APLW(MD).No.64 of 2022

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 22.12.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

REV.APLW(MD)No.64 of 2022

in

W.P(MD)No.14545 of 2022

J.Thangamani

... Petitioner/
Petitioner

v.

The Tahsildar,
Palani Taluk Office,
Dindigul District.

... Respondent/
Respondent

PRAYER: Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 of Civil Procedure Code to review the order passed in W.P(MD)No.14545 of 2022 dated 18.07.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.A Senthilkumar

For Respondents : Mr.A.K.Manikkam
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel on either side.



REV APLW(MD).No.64 of 2022

2.The review applicant had filed W.P(MD)No.14545 of 2022 for quashing the rejection order passed by the Tahsildar, Palani Taluk Office, Dindigul District. I posed a question to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, if the petitioner's vendor's name was reflected in the revenue record. Since the answer was in the negative, the writ petition came to be dismissed.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner now states that the petitioner in spite of her due diligence could not obtain proper information about the status of the vendor. I am satisfied that it was on account of *bona fide* mistake on the part of the petitioner, the writ petition came to be dismissed. The parameters set out in Order 47 C.P.C are fulfilled in this case. Order dated 18.07.2022 dismissing W.P(MD)No. 14545 of 2022 is set aside. The review application is allowed. Registry is directed to list the W.P for fresh disposal on 03.01.2023.

22.12.2022

Index :Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No
mga



REV APLW(MD).No.64 of 2022

To

WEB COPY

The Tahsildar,
Palani Taluk Office,
Dindigul District.



WEB COPY



REV.APLW(MD).No.64 of 2022

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

mga

REV.APLW(MD).No.64 of 2022
in
W.P(MD)No.14545 of 2022

22.12.2022