



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Civil Appellate Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Ninth day of September Two Thousand and Twenty Two

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice N.SESHASAYEE

CMP(MD) No.8015 of 2022
in
S.A.(MD) No.553 of 2014

MANI @ GURUSAMY NADAR

... PETITIONER/1st RESPONDENT

Vs

1. RAMAR NADAR
2. AMMASIKANNI
3. MUTHUKUMAR
4. SEENIAMMAL

... RESPONDENTS 1 TO 4/APPELLANTS

5. MARIAMMAL

... 5th RESPONDENT/2nd RESPONDENT

6. CHINNASAMY NADAR (DIED)

... 3rd RESPONDENT

7. PALANICHAMY
8. KULATHURAN
9. GURUNATHAN
10. ANNAMALAICHAMY

... RESPONDENTS 7 TO 10/
RESPONDENTS 7 TO 10

11. MADASAMY (DIED)

... 11th RESPONDENT

12. VADIVEL MURUGAN

... 12th RESPONDENT/12th RESPONDENT

13. KALIAPPAN NADAR (DIED)

... 13th RESPONDENT

14. RAMATHAL
15. MARIAMMAL
16. GURUVAMMAL
17. SAMIKKALAI
18. ARUMUGASAMY
19. MANORANCHIDAM
20. OLIVU
21. CHINNADURAI
22. RAMAR
23. MURUGAN



24.SUNDARI
25.GANESAN
26 PALMANICKAM
27.GURUSAMY PANDIAN
28.MARIAMMAL
29.SANKARESHWARI
30.VADIVELMURUGAN

... RESPONDENTS 14 TO 30 /
RESPONDENTS 11 TO 27

Petition filed praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court may be pleased to return Ex.B5 original sale deed dated 10.02.1999 by replacing the certified copy for the same.

PRAYER IN S.A. (MD) No.553 of 2014 :

To prefer the following Memorandum of Grounds of Second Appeal, against the concurrent judgment and decree, dated 19.08.2013 in A.S.No.46 of 2009, on the file of Sub Court, Sankarankovil by modifying the judgment and decree, dated 20.04.2009 in O.S.No.123 of 2001, on the file of the Principal District Munsif Court, Sankarankovil.

ORDER : This petition coming up for orders on this day, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S M.THIRUNAVUKKARASU, Advocate for the petitioner and of MR.F.X.EUGENE, Advocate on behalf of the Respondents, the court made the following order:-

This petition is filed to return Ext.B5 original sale deed dated 10.02.1999 by replacing the certified copy for the same.

2. Heard Mr.Thirunavukkarasu, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.F.X.Eugene, learned counsel for the respondents.

3. This Court is satisfied with the reasons set out in the affidavit filed in support of this petition. Accordingly, this petition is allowed. Registry is required to return Ext.B.5 and it is to be replaced with certified copy of Ext.B.5. The petitioner is directed to replace the original Ext.B.5 which is now directed to be returned to this Court, when the appeal is taken up for final disposal.

sd/-
09/09/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

09/09/2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1. THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE,
SANKARANKOVIL.
2. THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT MUNSIF,
SANKARANKOVIL.

COPY TO :

1. THE SECTION OFFICER,
V.R. SECTION,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.
2. THE SECTION OFFICER,
JUDICIAL SECTION,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. C.C. to M/S M.THIRUNAVUKKARASU Advocate SR.No.44009 (F)

ORDER

IN

CMP(MD) No.8015 of 2022 in

S.A.(MD) No.553 of 2014

Date :09/09/2022

abr

USK/VR/SAR-III/09.09.2022/3P/6C