



W.P(MD)No.20215 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30.08.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

W.P(MD)No.20215 of 2022

P.Sakthivel

...Petitioner

VS

1. The Superintendent of Police
Trichy District, Trichy.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Sirukanur Police Station
Sirukanur, Tirchy District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the “Adal Padal” Programme on 31.08.2022 at 06.00 pm to 11.00 p.m in connection with “Vinayakar Chadurthi Thiru Vizha” of Arulmigu Sri Vinayagar Swamy Thirukovil at Valaiyur Village, Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Manikandan

For Respondents : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor



W.P(MD)No.20215 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a ***Writ of Mandamus*** directing the respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the “Adal Padal” Programme on 31.08.2022 at 06.00 pm to 11.00 p.m in connection with “Vinayakar Chadurthi Thiru Vizha” of Arulmigu Sri Vinayagar Swamy Thirukovil at Valaiyur Village, Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District.

2.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that there is a festival at Valaiyur Village, Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District, on 31.08.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a Aadal Padal Dance programme on 31.08.2022, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation, dated 20.08.2022. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.



W.P(MD)No.20215 of 2022

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5.I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc. 007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 20.08.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.



W.P(MD)No.20215 of 2022

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

30.08.2022

Index :Yes/No
Internet : Yes/ No
ksa

Note:Issue Order copy on 30.08.2022



W.P(MD)No.20215 of 2022

WEB COPY

- To
1. The Superintendent of Police
Trichy District, Trichy.
 2. The Inspector of Police,
Sirukanur Police Station
Sirukanur, Tirchy District.
 3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.20215 of 2022

K.MURALI SHANKAR, J.

ksa

W.P(MD)No.20215 of 2022

30.08.2022