



W.P(MD)No.19935 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26.08.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.19935 of 2022

S.Aandivel

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Dindiugl,
Dindigul District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Vadamadurai Police Station,
Dindigul District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant permission and necessary protection to perform the cultural program i.e., Adal Padal on 04.09.2022 at 08.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. in the evening in "Sri Periya Kandiamman, Sri Veeramarathu Karuppasamy, Sri Andisamy Thiruvizha", Poosaripatti, Puthur Village, Dindigul District.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Krishna Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthikumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



W.P(MD)No.19935 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

The writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the second respondent to grant permission and necessary protection to perform the cultural program i.e., Adal Padal on 04.09.2022 from 08.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. in "Sri Periya Kandiamman, Sri Veeramarathu Karuppasamy, Sri Andisamy Thiruvizha", Poosaripatti, Puthur Village, Dindigul District.

2.Mr.M.Sakthikumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival, by name, "Sri Periya Kandiamman, Sri Veeramarathu Karuppasamy, Sri Andisamy Thiruvizha" situated at Poosaripatti, Puthur Village, Dindigul District is going to be held on 04.09.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 16.08.2022. But the respondent police has not considered the said representation. Since



W.P(MD)No.19935 of 2022

no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the respondent police has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5.I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 16.08.2022 and pass



W.P(MD)No.19935 of 2022

suitable orders based on the above said circular.

WEB COPY

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

No costs.

26.08.2022

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes/ No

vsd

To

- 1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Dindiugl,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Vadamadurai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.19935 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM., J

vsd

W.P(MD)No.19935 of 2022

26.08.2022