



W.P(MD)No.19570of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:24.08.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.19570 of 2022

V.Karuppaiah

...Petitioner

vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

2. The Inspector of Police
Sakkottai Police Station,
Sakkottai, Sivagangai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the Respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the Adal Padal Programme on 08.09.2022 at 06.00 pm to 11.00 pm in connection with Thiru Vizha of Arulmigu Sri Muthu Mariamman Thirukovil at Mithirankudi Village, Peerkkalaikadu Post, Karaikkudi Taluk, Sivagangai District.

For Petitioner :Mr.V.Manikandan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar

Government Advocate(Crl.Side)



W.P(MD)No.19570of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a ***Writ of Mandamus*** directing the Respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the Adal Padal Programme on 08.09.2022 at 06.00 pm to 11.00 pm in connection with Thiru Vizha of Arulmigu Sri Muthu Mariamman Thirukovil at Mithirankudi Village, Peerkkalaikadu Post, Karaikkudi Taluk, Sivagangai District.

2.Mr.M.Sakthikumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that 'Aadal Padal' programme at Mithirankudi Village, Peerkkalaikadu Post, Karaikkudi Taluk, Sivagangai District, 08.09.2022. Subsequent to the same, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 12.08.2022. But the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.



W.P(MD)No.19570of 2022

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting tournament. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 12.08.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.



W.P(MD)No.19570of 2022

WEB COPY

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

No costs.

24.08.2022

Index :Yes/No

Internet : Yes/ No

ksa

Note:Issue Order copy on 30.08.2022



W.P(MD)No.19570of 2022

WEB COPY

- To
1. The Superintendent of Police
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
 2. The Inspector of Police
Sakkottai Police Station,
Sakkottai, Sivagangai District.
 3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.19570of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J

ksa

W.P(MD)No.19570 of 2022

24.08.2022