



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.15354 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:26.08.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.15354 of 2022

and

CrI.M.P(MD)No.10081 of 2022

1. Jeramiya Loran,
2. Christy @ Christy Karna Bai,
3. John Singh,
4. Benadict Loran,

: Petitioners

Vs

1. The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Nagercoil,
Kanyakumari District.
(Crime No.20/2017).

2. Jenusha,

: Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
praying to call for the records relating to the proceedings in C,C,No.
512/2018 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, (Magisterial Level),



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.15354 of 2022

Nagercoil and quash the same in respect of petitioners.

WEB COPY

For Petitioners	: Mr.Narayanakumar K P,
For R1	: Mr.A.Albert James,
	Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R2	:Mr.S.Pugalendhi

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the Charge Sheet in C,C,No.512/2018 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, (Magisterial Level), Nagercoil, for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 406 of IPC and Sections 4 and 6 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, in Crime No.20 of 2017.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute, the accused persons harassed the defacto complainant and also they demanded dowry from her. Hence the complaint.

3.The case is under trial. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.15354 of 2022

WEB COPY

4. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mrs.K.Rekha, W-Gr-I Police, AWPS Nagercoil as well as by the learned Counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has to power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 498(A), 406 of IPC and Sections 4 and 6 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

6. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another** reported in **(2012)10 SCC 303** and **Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State**



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.15354 of 2022

of Gujrath) reported in **(2017)9 SCC 641** were taken into consideration.

WEB COPY

7. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in C,C,No.512/2018 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, (Magisterial Level), Nagercoil, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in C,C,No.512/2018 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, (Magisterial Level), Nagercoil is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

26.08.2022

Internet: Yes
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non speaking order
lr

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.15354 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Nagercoil,
Kanyakumari District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.15354 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

lr

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.15354 of 2022

26.08.2022