



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 18.02.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P. (MD) .No.7212 of 2018

and

W.M.P. (MD) .No.6931 of 2018

WEB COPY

A.V.C.Mohan

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The General Manager,
Personnel Administrative Department,
Indian Overseas Bank,
Central Office,
No.763, Anna Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.
- 2.The Chief Regional Manager,
Indian Overseas Bank,
Regional Office, No.40, Eighty Feet Road,
Arignar Anna Nagar,
Madurai - 625 020.
- 3.The Assistant General Manager,
Disciplinary Authority,
Indian Overseas Bank,
Central Office,
No.763, Anna Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.
- 4.The Assistant General Manager,
Indian Overseas Bank,
Regional Office,
No.40, Eighty Feet Road,
Arignar Anna Nagar,
Madurai - 625 020.
- 5.Smt.Saraswathi,
Deputy General Manager/Disciplinary Authority,
Indian Overseas Bank,
Zonal Office,
No.11/952, Cross Cut Road,
Coimbatore - 641 012.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned proceedings in ZO/DA:DGM(SA)-ZO:VIG/8970:235:2017-18, dated 19.03.2018 passed by the fifth respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the first and third respondents to instruct the fifth respondent not to proceed further any allegations



or charges against the petitioner for the same charges already closed as per the Proceedings DO:AGM (RR):DA:Vig:8595:7547 2015-16 dated 15.03.2016.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Radha Krishnan
For R-1 : Not Appearance.
For R-2 : Mr.M.Senthil Kumar
For R-3 to R-5 : Mr.N.Dilip Kumar

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the charge memo dated 19.03.2018 issued by the fifth respondent with a consequential prayer to direct the respondents to instruct the fifth respondent not to proceed further against the petitioner for the same set of charges already closed as per the proceedings dated 15.03.2016.

2. When the case was taken up for hearing it was submitted that based on the charge memo, already enquiry was conducted and over and the punishment order was put to challenge before this Court in W.P.(MD).No.19633 of 2020.

3. Therefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that nothing survives for adjudication in the Writ Petition. Hence the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition stands closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (W)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Nsr

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

+1 CC to M/s.M.SENTHIL KUMAR, Advocate (SR-7296[F] dated 21/02/2022)

+1 CC to M/s.N.DILIPKUMAR, Advocate (SR-7331[F] dated 21/02/2022)

W.P. (MD) .No.7212 of 2018
18.02.2022

RJ(CO)GC(05.03.2022) 2P 3C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>