



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.15190 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 24.08.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL.O.P (MD) No.15190 of 2022

and

CrL.M.P(MD) No.9946 of 2022

Deepak

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Second Class Executive Magistrate
-cum- Tahsildhar,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
(Law and Order)
Emaneswaram Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to call for the entire records in relating to the impugned proceedings of the first respondent in M.C.No.84 of 2022, dated 31.05.2022 and to quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthik

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.15190 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition is filed to quash the impugned proceedings in M.C.No. 84 of 2022, dated 31.05.2022 issued by the first respondent.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the impugned summon dated 31.05.2022 under Section 110 Cr.P.C issued under Section 111 Cr.P.C under challenge is void, and the proceedings against the petitioner is nullity and without any jurisdiction as substance of information received as required is incomplete and ambiguous. Summon without substance of information vitiate the proceedings. Failure to comply with the mandatory requirements of Section 111 Cr.P.C vitiates the preliminary order consequently, the proceeding. Hence, the learned counsel pleaded to quash the impugned summons.

3.The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents contended that in the impugned summon, adequate information is given to the petitioner, it is enough to the person notified to defend himself against the allegations. When they had clear notice of the case against them, there



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.15190 of 2022

may not be any prejudice. Hence, he pleaded to dismiss the original petition.

4. I have considered the matter in the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The impugned summon is ordered by the Second Class Executive Magistrate-cum-Tahsildhar on 31.05.2022 under Section 111 Cr.P.C. On perusal of the impugned summon issued under Section 110 and 111 Cr.P.C, it is seen that the proceedings under Section 110 is instituted by a preliminary order under Section 111 Cr.P.C, which shall comply the following conditions:-

- (i) the order must be written
- (ii) substance of information against the person must be disclosed
- (iii) the amount of bond, period for the bond, number, character and class of sureties must be disclosed in the preliminary order under Section 111 Cr.P.C



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.15190 of 2022

6. The issue of summon under Section 111 Cr.P.C is judicial act, the object behind this summon is being to enable the person to prepare for the defence. After the order is served, an enquiry is to be held under Section 116 Cr.P.C. After concluding enquiry, the Second Class Executive Magistrate-cum-Tahsildhar then pass final order. Unless the Second Class Executive Magistrate-cum-Tashildar place with the mandatory requirements of the provisions of law under Section 111 Cr.P.C, they will have no jurisdiction to direct the person to proceed against to appear before him or to secure their persons for the purpose of enquiry.

7. In the instant case, the impugned summon dated 31.05.2022 mentioning only vague information about the complaint/information given by the Inspector of Police, substance of information received as required is incomplete. Thus, the summon without substance of information nullify the proceeding. The failure to comply with the mandatory requirements of setting forth, the substance of information under Section 111 Cr.P.C vitiate the notice/order and consequently, the proceeding would be quashed.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.15190 of 2022

8. Further, a perusal of the impugned summon, it is seen that the Second Class Executive Magistrate-cum-Tahsildhar called the petitioner to appear certain enquiry on 13.06.2022. Now, the said date is over. Hence, the impugned proceedings is liable to be quashed.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed. The impugned summon dated 31.05.2022 on the file of the Second Class Executive Magistrate-cum-Tahsildhar, Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District, is hereby quashed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

24.08.2022

Internet: Yes./No
Index: Yes/no
ebsi

To

1. The Second Class Executive Magistrate -cum- Tahsildar,
Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District.
2. The Inspector of Police, (Law and Order)
Emaneswaram Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.15190 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

ebsi

ORDER IN
CRL.O.P (MD) No.15190 of 2022

24.08.2022