



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD). N



22

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Reservd on : 06/09/2022
Delivered on : 08/09/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice R.THARANI

CRL OP(MD). No.14882 of 2022

Karthick

... Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs.

The State rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
NIB CID,
Kanniyakumari District.
(In Crime No.4/2022).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Sathish Kumar.K,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no.4/2022 on the file of the
Respondent Police.


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of
the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 8(c)
r/w. Section 20(b)(ii)(B) of NDPS Act, in Crime No. 4 of 2022, seeks
anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that on 31.03.2022,
on secret information, the police party surrounded three persons on
suspicion and found A1 and A2 were in illegal possession of 1.200
Kgs of Ganja and on the confession of A1, the petitioner is falsely
implicated in the case. A case in Crime No.4 of 2022, was registered
against the petitioner.

3. On the side of the petitioner, it is stated that the
petitioner is no way connected with the case. The contraband was
seized only from A1 and A2 and not from the petitioner. The
petitioner has completed I.T.I and he is pursuing higher studies.
Only based on the confession of A1, the petitioner was falsely
implicated in the case. A1 and A2 were already released on bail and
prayed the petitioner to be released on anticipatory bail.

<https://www.mhco.gov.in/judis>



4. On the side of the prosecution, it is stated that the quantity of the contraband is commercial in nature. The petitioner was absconding. The petitioner is having one previous case, which is not similar in nature.

5. Considering the nature of the offence and considering the fact that the quantity involved is commercial in nature and considering the antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

6. Hence, this Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
08/09/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/09/2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

LS

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
NIB CID,
Kanniyakumari District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14882 of 2022
Date :08/09/2022

RK/SVR/SAR-2 (15/09/2022) 2P/3C