



W.P(MD)No.18855of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:18.08.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.18855 of 2022

M.Sanglidurai

...Petitioner

VS

1. The Superintendent of Police
Dindigul District

2. The Inspector of Police
Keeranur Police Station,
Dindigul

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent to grant permission and give necessary police protection to conducting the Grammiya Kalai Nigalchi on 02.09.2022 and 03.09.2022 at Keeranur Village and Manur Village, Arulmigu Sri Aathikilavan Semmakal and Semmandiammal Thiruvizha at Keeranur and Manur at Palani Taluk, Dindigul District

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Anbarasan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar

Government Advocate(Crl.Side)



W.P(MD)No.18855of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant permission and give necessary police protection to conducting the Grammiya Kalai Nigalchi on 02.09.2022 and 03.09.2022 at Keeranur Village and Manur Village, Arulmigu Sri Aathikilavan Semmakkal and Semmandiammal Thiruvizha at Keeranur and Manur at Palani Taluk, Dindigul District

2.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that there is a Fammiya Kalai Nigalchi on 02.09.2022 and 03.09.2022 at Keeranur Village and Manur Village, Arulmigu Sri Aathikilavan Semmakkal and Semmandiammal Thiruvizha at Keeranur and Manur at Palani Taluk, Dindigul District.. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 02.09.2022 and 03.09.2022, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated



W.P(MD)No.18855of 2022

05.08.2022. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc. 007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to



W.P(MD)No.18855of 2022

consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 05.08.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

18.08.2022

Index :Yes/No

Internet : Yes/ No

aav

Note:Issue Order copy on 29.08.2022

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
Dindigul District
2. The Inspector of Police
Keeranur Police Station,
Dindigul
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.18855of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J

aav

W.P(MD)No.18855 of 2022

18.08.2022