



W.P(MD)No.18385 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:12.08.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.18385 of 2022

Namburajan

...Petitioner

VS

1. The Superintendent of Police
Office of the Superintendent of Police
Ramanathapuram District

2. The Inspector of Police
Dhanuskodi Police Station
Ramanathapuram District

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to give the permission and protection for conducting Aadal Paadal Cultural Programme on 16.08.2022 at about 6.30 P.M. to 11 P.M. in connection with Sri Poomariyamman Temple in Pudhu Road, Rameshwaram Taluk, Ramanathapuram District based upon petitioners representation dated 08.08.2022 and 11.08.2022,

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Balamurugapandi

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar

Government Advocate(Crl.Side)



W.P(MD)No.18385 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

The writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents herein to give the permission and protection for conducting Aaadai Paadai Cultural Programme on 16.08.2022 at about 6.30 P.M. to 11 P.M. in connection with Sri Poomariyamman Temple in Pudhu Road, Rameshwaram Taluk, Ramanathapuram District based upon petitioners representation dated 08.08.2022 and 11.08.2022,

2.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that there is a Thiruvizha in the temple, by name, Sri Poomariyamman Temple in Pudhu Road, Rameshwaram Taluk, Ramanathapuram District. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 16.08.2022, they sought permission of the police by giving a representations dated 08.08.2022 and 11.08.2022. But, the second



W.P(MD)No.18385 of 2022

respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc. 007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to



W.P(MD)No.18385 of 2022

consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 08.08.2022 and 11.08.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

12.08.2022

Index :Yes/No

Internet : Yes/ No

ebzi

Note:Issue Order copy on 12.08.2022

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
Office of the Superintendent of Police
Ramanathapuram District
2. The Inspector of Police
Dhanuskodi Police Station
Ramanathapuram District
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.18385 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

ebzi

W.P(MD)No.18385 of 2022

12.08.2022