WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:**16.08.2022**

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.18466 of 2022

Karikalan,

: Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai, Sivagangai District

2. The Inspector of Police,
Sakkottai Police Station,
Sivagangai District

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to grant permission and protection for cultural event namely Adal Padal scheduled to be held on 06.09.2022 at night in connection with Avani festival in Arulmigu Sri Bidariamman Temple at Sakkavayal Village, Karaikudi Taluk, Sivagangai District by considering the petitioner's representation, dated 01.08.2022.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : M/s. Balasubramanian.E,
For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to grant permission and protection for cultural event namely Adal Padal scheduled to be held on 06.09.2022 at night in connection with Avani festival in Arulmigu Sri Bidariamman Temple at Sakkavayal Village, Karaikudi Taluk, Sivagangai District by considering the petitioner's representation, dated 01.08.2022.

2.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that Avani festival for the temple, by name, Arulmigu Sri Bidariamman Temple at Sakkavayal Village, Karaikudi Taluk, Sivagangai District is

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

going to be held in the month of Avani. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 06.09.2022, they sought permission of the police by giving representations dated 01.08.2022 and 10.08.2022. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representations. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representations of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc. 007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 01.08.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

16.08.2022

Index :Yes/No
Internet : Yes/ No
lr
Note:Issue Order copy on 30.08.2022

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

V.SIVAGNANAM, J

lr

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai, Sivagangai District

2. The Inspector of Police,
Sakkottai Police Station,
Sivagangai District

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P(MD)No.18466 of 2022

16.08.2022

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis