



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.14081 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 04.08.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM**

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.14081 of 2022

Vasudevan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police
Souththamaraikulam Police Station
Kanyakumari District

2.Jeyasree

....Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
praying to call for the records pertaining to the FIR in Crime No. 72 of
2022 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same in
respect of the petitioner alone.

For Petitioner	: Mr.A.Palkani
For Respondents	: Mr.A.Albert James
No.1	Government Advocate(CrI.Side)
No.2	: Mr.V.Malaiyendran



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14081 of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in Crime No.72 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police

2.The case of the prosecution is that there is some dispute regarding creating of whatsapp account, hence on 24.02.2022 when the defacto complainant was going in her two wheeler, the petitioner herein teased her and when the same was questioned by the brother of the defacto complainant, the petitioner herein abused him and also attacked him.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioner and the second respondent and



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14081 of 2022

also by their respective counsel. The petitioner and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.Jeyachandran,HC 1952 Soththamaraikulam Police Station as well as by the learned Counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 294(b),323,506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act.

6.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14081 of 2022

WEB COPY

7.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No. 72 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

8.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.72 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police respondent police, is quashed insofar as the petitioner and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

04.08.2022

Internet:Yes
Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non speaking order
aav



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.14081 of 2022

To
WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police
Souththamaraikulam Police Station
Kanyakumari District
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14081 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

aav

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14081 of 2022

04.08.2022