



Rev.Aplw(MD)No.76 of 2021

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **24.08.2022**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH**

Rev.Aplw(MD)No.76 of 2021

in

W.P.(MD)No.7012 of 2021

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.14012 of 2021

1.The Chairman,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
4th Floor, EVK Sampath Maaligai,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.

2.The Teachers Recruitment Board,
Represented its Member Secretary,
4th Floor, EVK Sampath Maaligai,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.

... Petitioners

/vs./

1.Manjari

2.The Commissioner / Director,
Department of Most Backward &
Denotified Communities Welfare,
Chennai – 600 005.

3.The Joint Director of Kallar Reclamation,
Office of the Joint Director of Kallar Reclamation,
Madurai – 20.

... Respondents



Rev.Aplw(MD)No.76 of 2021

WEB COPY

PRAYER: Review Petition filed under Order 47 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC r/w Section 114 of the Civil Procedure Code, to review the order passed in WP(MD).7012 of 2019, dated 09.03.2021.

For Petitioners : Mr.VR.Shanmuganathan
For Respondents : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy (for R1)
Mr.R.Ragaventhiran
Government Advocate (for R2 & R3)

ORDER

The grounds raised in the present review petition do not refer to any error apparent on the face of the order passed by this Court and as such, it does not require consideration.

2.A perusal of the grounds reveals that the Teachers Recruitment Board is predominantly aggrieved against the interim orders passed in WP(MD)No.17454 of 2014, at the instance of one T.Uthayarani, whereby one post was ordered to be kept vacant in the selection process. The ground raised in the present review petition is that in view of the pendency of T.Uthayarani's case, the first respondent



Rev.Aplw(MD)No.76 of 2021

WEB COPY

can be accommodated only after the final decision in T.Uthayarani's case and therefore, seeks for clarification by way of this review.

3.It is now stated that the writ petition in WP(MD)No.17454 of 2014 filed by T.Uthayarani was allowed on 17.03.2022. As such, there may not be any impediment for the Teachers Recruitment Board to accommodate the candidate, Manjari, who is the first respondent herein, in the vacant post which was already ordered to be kept vacant in T.Uthayarani's case.

4.The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the second respondent submitted that as against the final orders passed in T.Uthayarani's case, they have preferred an Intra-Court appeal, which is pending before the Division Bench of this Court.

5.It is needless to point out that incase the candidate, Manjari, the first respondent herein, is accommodated in one vacant post, such appointment would be subject to the final decision that would be taken in the Intra-Court Appeal, in the case of T.Uthayarani.



Rev.Aplw(MD)No.76 of 2021

WEB COPY

6.In the light of the above observations, no interference is required to the order passed in the writ petition in WP(MD)No.7012 of 2019, dated 09.03.2021. Accordingly, this Review Application stands closed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

24.08.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
sm



Rev.Aplw(MD)No.76 of 2021

WEB COPY

TO:

- 1.The Commissioner / Director,
Department of Most Backward &
Denotified Communities Welfare,
Chennai – 600 005.
- 2.The Joint Director of Kallar Reclamation,
Office of the Joint Director of Kallar Reclamation,
Madurai – 20.



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw(MD)No.76 of 2021

M.S.RAMESH, J.

Sm

Order made in
Rev.Aplw(MD)No.76 of 2021

Dated:
24.08.2022