

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 04.08.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.17441 of 2022

M.Balamurugan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Panaiyapatti Police Station,
Panaiyapatti, Pudukkottai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the “Adal Padal” Programme on 31.08.2022 at 06.00 pm to 11.00 pm in connection with Thiru Vizha of Arulmigu Sri Muthu Mariamman Thirukovil at Melavayal Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Manikandan,

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,

Government Advocate (Crl. side).

ORDER

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the “Adal Padal” Programme on 31.08.2022 at 06.00 pm to 11.00 pm in connection with Thiru Vizha of Arulmigu Sri Muthu Mariamman Thirukovil at Melavayal Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

2.Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival, by name, Arulmigu Sri Muthu Mariamman Thirukovil at Melavayal Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District, is going to be held on 31.08.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, namely, Adal Padal on 31.08.2022, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 23.07.2022. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation.

Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondents would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondents.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 23.07.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

04.08.2022

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/ No
csm

Note: Issue Order copy on 26.08.2022

To

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Panaiyapatti Police Station,
Panaiyapatti, Pudukkottai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P(MD)No.17441 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J

csm

W.P(MD)No.17441 of 2022

04.08.2022