



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

RESERVED ON : 04.02.2022

PRONOUNCED ON : 25.04.2022

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN**

W.P. (MD)No.16769 of 2021 and

W.M.P. (MD)No.13644 of 2021

WEB COPY

Kulathur Hindu Nadargal  
Uravinmurai Sangam,  
Registration No.54/1956,  
Rep. Through its President  
M.Seenirajan.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,  
Thoothukudi District,  
Thoothukudi.
2. The Superintendent of Police,  
Thoothukudi District,  
Thoothukudi.
3. The District Registrar,  
Registration Department,  
Thoothukudi,  
Thoothukudi District.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Kovilpatti Sub-Division,  
Kovilpatti,  
Thoothukudi District.
5. The Tahsildar,  
Vilathikulam Taluk,  
Thoothukudi District.
6. The Inspector of Police,  
Kulathur police station,  
Thoothukudi District.
7. Palraj
8. R.P.Murugesan

... Respondents

**Prayer:** Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings of the fourth respondent in proceedings Na.Ka.No.A1/4779/2021 dated

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



nil.07.2021, signed on 15.07.2021 and quash the same as illegal and unconstitutional, consequently directing the respondents to facilitate the present executive committee headed by the petitioner to have smooth administration over Kulathur Hindu Nadargal Uravinmurai Sangam, in Mahamai building until next executive committee is duly elected in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Arul  
For R-1 to R-6 : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,  
Additional Public Prosecutor.  
For R-7 : Mr.J.Madhusuthanan  
For R-8 : Mr.T.A.Ebenazar

**O R D E R**

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the official respondents and the learned counsel appearing for the private respondents.

2. What is under challenge in this writ petition is the notice issued by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kovilpatti, calling upon the members of ' A ' party and ' B ' party to appear for resolving the dispute between them amicably.

3. It is well settled that any decision taken in a peace meeting is not having any legal consequence. It cannot be enforced by law also. The impugned communication does not in any way affect the rights of the parties. It has only an advisory character. Leaving open the rights of the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AE)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

PMU

**To:**

1. The District Collector,  
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.
2. The Superintendent of Police,  
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.



3. The District Registrar,  
Registration Department,  
Thoothukudi, Thoothukudi District.

4. The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Kovilpatti Sub-Division,  
Kovilpatti, Thoothukudi District.

5. The Tahsildar,  
Vilathikulam Taluk, Thoothukudi District.

6. The Inspector of Police,  
Kulathur police station, Thoothukudi District.

7. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.R. NANDHINI GEETHA, Advocate ( SR-21088[F] dated  
26/04/2022 )

+1 CC to M/s.T. ARUL, Advocate ( SR-21126[F] dated 26/04/2022 )

W.P.(MD)No.16769 of 2021  
25.04.2022

RK(25/05/2022) 3P 10C