



W.P(MD)No.16707 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:28.07.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.16707 of 2022

Edvartarisan

...Petitioner

VS

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Alangudi, Pudukottai District

2. The Inspector of Police
Sammattividuthi Police Station
Pudukottai District

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent to grant permission and necessary protection to perform the cultural program (ie.) Adal Padal on 31.07.2022 at 07.00 PM to 10.00 PM in the evening of Thiruvizha at Punitha Santhiyagappan Alayam S.Melapatti, Sammattividuthi, Pudukottai District

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Krishnakumar

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar

Government Advocate(Crl.Side)



W.P(MD)No.16707 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to grant permission and necessary protection to perform the cultural program (ie.) Adal Padal on 31.07.2022 at 07.00 PM to 10.00 PM in the evening of Thiruvizha at Punitha Santhiyagappar Alayam S.Melapatti, Sammattividuthi, Pudukottai District

2.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that there is a Thiruvizha in the temple, by name, Punitha Santhiyagappar Alayam S.Melapatti, Sammattividuthi, Pudukottai District on 31.07.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 31.07.2022, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 22.07.2022. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed,



W.P(MD)No.16707 of 2022

the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc. 007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 22.07.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.



W.P(MD)No.16707 of 2022

WEB COPY

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

28.07.2022

Index :Yes/No

Internet : Yes/ No

aav

Note:Issue Order copy on 28.07.2022

To

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Alangudi, Pudukottai District
2. The Inspector of Police
Sammattividuthi Police Station
Pudukottai District
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.16707 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J

aav

W.P(MD)No.16707 of 2022

28.07.2022