



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13373 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13373 of 2022

1. Dominic Prabakar
2. James Peter
3. Victoria
4. Josephine Helen Rubi ... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police
Vaiyampatti Police Station
Manapparai Taluk
Trichy District

2. PonnarRespondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to call for the records pertaining to the proceedings in Crime No. 480 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Vishnu Vardhan
For Respondents : Mr.A.Albert James
No.1 Government Advocate(Crl.Side)
No.2 : Mr.K.S.Kathiravan



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13373 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in 480 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent police

2.The case of the prosecution is that there previous enmity with regard to grazing of cattle between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. On 14.11.2021 at about 7.00 pm., when the second respondent's niece was playing before the second petitioner's house the petitioners herein abused the child in filthy language and assaulted the brother of the defacto complainant, hence the case came to be registered.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13373 of 2022

respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.Veerapandi,PC 1667, Vaiyampati Police Station, Trichy as well as by the learned Counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 294(b),323,324,342,506(ii) of IPC and SEction 3(1)(r) and 3(1)(s) of SC/ST Prevention of Atrocities Act, 1989

6.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13373 of 2022

WEB COPY

7.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No. 480 of 2021 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

8.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No. 480 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent police respondent police, is quashed insofar as the petitioners alone and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

27.07.2022

Internet:Yes
Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non speaking order
aav



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13373 of 2022

To
WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police
Vaiyampatti Police Station
Manapparai Taluk
Trichy District
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13373 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

aav

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13373 of 2022

27.07.2022