



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD). N



22

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.07.2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice **K.MURALI SHANKAR**

CRL OP(MD) . No.13293 of 2022

Vijaya ... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Thanthonimalai Police Station,
Karur District.
(Crime No.286 of 2022)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.S.Prabha, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,
Government Advocate (Cr1. Side).

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.
PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.286 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(2) IPC r/w Section 4 of Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act, in Crime No.286 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there existed previous enmity between the petitioner's husband and the defacto complainant, due to that, the petitioner and other accused threatened the defacto complainant and also attacked her. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the accused



2 and 3 were already granted anticipatory bail by the District Court and that the fourth accused was released on bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital and that the petitioner is not having any previous cases.

5. Considering the facts that there existed previous enmity between the parties, that the injured was already discharged from the hospital, that the accused 2 and 3 were granted anticipatory bail, that the fourth accused was released on bail and that the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Additional Mahila Court at Magistrate Level, Karur, Karur District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD). N



22

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
22/07/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

CSM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT,
KARUR, KARUR DISTRICT.
- 2 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THANTHONIMALAI POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.GOKULRAJ, Advocate (SR-7538[I] dated 22/07/2022)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13293 of 2022
Date :22/07/2022

PKP/SVR/SAR-4/29.07.2022/3P/6C