



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13201 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13201 of 2022

1. Pandi
2. Pasupathy
3. Thanikodi
4. Gandhi

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police
Mudukulathur Police Station,
Ramanthapuram District

2. Mohan Raj

....Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
praying to call for the records pertaining to the proceedings in Crime No.
183 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioners	: Mr.T.Veerakumar
For Respondents	: Mr.A.Albert James
No.1	Government Advocate(Crl.Side)
No.2	: Mr.M.Arumugam



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13201 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in 183 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent police

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 07.04.2021 the petitioner herein said to have abused the defacto complainant and attacked with wooden log.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.K.Selvam, SI of Police, Mudukulathur Police Station as well as by the learned Counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13201 of 2022

enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 294(b),324,323 and 506(ii) of IPC.

6.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.

7.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No. 183 of 2021 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13201 of 2022

WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No. 183 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent police respondent police, is quashed insofar as the petitioners alone and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

27.07.2022

Internet: Yes
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non speaking order
aav

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Mudukulathur Police Station,
Ramanthapuram District
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.13201 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

aav

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.13201 of 2022

27.07.2022