



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13245 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.07.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL.O.P (MD) No.13245 of 2022

1. Balamurugan @ Balu,
2. Elangeswaran @ Kannan,
3. Pushparaj,
4. Rajan (Dasan @ Periya),
5. Parvathi,
6. Sasikala,
7. Suganya,
8. Sugapriya,
9. Veenu @ Vinuharan,

: Petitioners

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station,
Madurai District,
Madurai.
Crime.No.1784 of 2020.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13245 of 2022

2. Sujay,

: Respondents

WEB COPY

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to call for the records in Crime No. 1784 of 2020 dated 19.10.2020 pending on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as against the petitioners.

For Petitioners : M/s. Palanivelmurugan.G,

For R1 : Mr.A.Albert James,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.S.Sivaprakash

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in Crime No. 1784 of 2020, pending on the file of the first respondent police.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant's brother with stick and knife. On seeing that, the defacto complainant tried to save his brother. Hence the complaint.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time,



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13245 of 2022

the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.S.Murugesan, SSI of Police, Austinpatti Police Station as well as by the learned Counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has to power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.

6.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13245 of 2022

of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.

7.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No. 1784 of 2020, pending on the file of the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

8.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No. 1784 of 2020, pending on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed insofar as the petitioners alone and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

22.07.2022
(2/2)

Internet:Yes./No
Index:Yes/no
lr

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13245 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.13245 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

lr

ORDER IN
CRL.O.P (MD) No.13245 of 2022

22.07.2022

(2/2)