



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 21.01.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN

W.P. (MD)No.15995 of 2019
(Through video Conference)

Jagadeesh Gnanasingh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. the Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.

3.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Nilakottai,
Dindigul District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Ammayanaickanur Police Station,
Dindigul District.

5.David

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to protect the petitioner and his property and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 2 to ensure the life and the petitioner's property are protected and the petitioner able to live with security and dignity as per Section 20 of Tamil Nadu Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Rules 2009.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Venkatesan

For R1 to R4 : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar

Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

The dispute between the father/petitioner herein and son has ended in filing the writ petition seeking protection of life and property of the petitioner. The petitioner alleges that the fifth respondent, who is his son through first wife threatened him with dire consequence and making all attempts to grab his land at



Oruthattu village and therefore, he has given a detailed representation to the second respondent on 15.11.2017. However, no action has been taken so far.

2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the representation of the petitioner was considered and as of now there is no threat at the hands of the fifth respondent. The first respondent, District Collector, who is the Executive Magistrate himself or through his subordinate will take necessary steps if there is any real threat to the life and property of the petitioner herein.

3. Regarding the request to take action against the fifth respondent, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would further submit that if a fresh representation is given by the petitioner herein to the first respondent, District Collector, the same shall be taken up for enquiry and necessary orders will be passed.

4. Recording the said submission, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to entertain the protection petition from the petitioner herein and dispose it of in accordance with law within a period of sixty days from the date of receipt of such petition. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (A.D.I)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)


PJL

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the Advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The District Collector,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

2. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. the Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.



3.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Nilakottai,
Dindigul District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Ammayanaickanur Police Station,
Dindigul District.

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

**W.P. (MD) No.15995 of 2019
21.01.2022**

RD(15.02.2022) 3P 6C