



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12876 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.07.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL.O.P (MD) No.12876 of 2022

1. Satheesh,
2. Alagan @ Katturaja,
3. Yoharaj,
4. Maharajan,
5. Boomiraja,
6. Karthick,
7. Ajay,

: Petitioners

Vs

1.The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Kottampatti Police Station,
Madurai.
Crime No.107/2022.

2. Malaisamy,
3. Vijayakumar,

: Respondents



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12876 of 2022

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to call for the records from the file of first respondent in Crime No. 107 of 2022 and quash the same.

For Petitioners : M/s. Jegadeesh Pandian.M,
For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R2 : Mr.B.Viswanathan

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in Crime No.107 of 2022, on the file of first respondent.

2.The case of the prosecution is that during a temple festival, which was held at Ayyapatti Village, the petitioners interrupted in the festival and the same questioned by the third respondent herein. There was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the third respondent. The petitioner abused him with filthy language and assaulted him with wooden logs. Hence, the complaint.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time,



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12876 of 2022

the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the respondents 2 and 3 and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the respondents 2 and 3 were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.L.Pandi, SSI of Police, Kottampatti Police Station as well as by the learned Counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has to power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 307 and 506(ii) IPC.

6.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in (2012)10 SCC



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.12876 of 2022

303 and *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath* reported in **(2017)9 SCC 641** were taken into consideration.

7.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.107 of 2022, on the file of first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

8.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.107 of 2022, on the file of first respondent police, is quashed insofar as the petitioners alone and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

18.07.2022

Internet:Yes./No
Index:Yes/no
lr



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12876 of 2022

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Kottampatti Police Station,
Madurai.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12876 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

lr

ORDER IN
CRL.O.P (MD) No.12876 of 2022

18.07.2022